



RESIDENTS COALITION of Chelan County

August 23, 2024

Chelan County Planning Commission
316 Washington Street, Suite 301
Wenatchee WA 98801

Re: August 28, 2024, Hearing for Proposed Amendments to the Short-Term Rental Provision in the Chelan County Code, specifically Section 11.88.290.

Dear Honorable Planning Commissioners,

Residents Coalition of Chelan County (RC₃) is submitting the following comments on the proposed changes to Chelan County Code (CCC) pertaining to Short-Term Rentals (STRs) (CCC 11.88.290) to be discussed at the August 28, 2024, Planning Commission hearing.

As you know, Residents United for Neighbors (RUN), RC₃'s predecessor organization, was deeply involved in the original promulgation of CCC 11.88.290, including having a board member on the Task Force associated with code development. This process took over two years of intensive effort, reflecting the complexity and importance of the issues at hand. Both sides of the issue, represented by RUN and the Short-Term Rental Association of Chelan County, made significant compromises during the final STR Task Force negotiations to achieve a comprehensive and balanced outcome. The extensive deliberations and compromises made over this period were essential to develop a code that addresses the needs and concerns of all stakeholders. These historical efforts must be respected.

The following comments are provided for some of the specific code changes proposed. RC₃ is supportive of the other minor changes proposed that are not specifically addressed below.

Comments on Specific Proposed Changes to the Code

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(2)(A)(i) – Description of Tier 1 Short-Term Rental

The following changes to this section of the code are proposed:

(i) Tier 1. Owner/Manager/Operator-occupied (as defined in 14.98.1363) short-term rentals where either (a) rooms are rented and the owner/manager/operator (to include title holders, corporate officers, and contract purchasers) is personally present at the dwelling during the rental period, or (b) the short-term rental is located within the same parcel as the owner/manager/operator's principal residence and the owner/manager/operator is personally present at the dwelling during the rental period, or (c) the entire dwelling is rented no more than fifteen total days in a calendar year; provided, that an on-site qualified person is there during the owner/manager/operator's absence. Portions of calendar days shall be counted as full days.

RC₃ strenuously objects to this proposed change. Anyone other than a true owner would not have the same interests in ensuring that noise, parking, and other potentially-disruptive issues are immediately addressed. The owner has an incentive to keep down nuisance issues while on-site, while a manager does not necessarily. And what would stop the owner from just naming one member of each group renting the STR from being the official manager for that stay? It would result in renters policing themselves.

Moreover, there is no way for the County to keep track of who is the designated manager or even assure that one is designated. There could be turnover in renters every 30 days in the unit that is not designated as the STR.

Tier 1 STRs must be owner-occupied. One of the aims in allowing owners to rent out accessory dwelling units was to provide them with a source of income to offset the high cost of housing and property taxes. It was not intended to turn more of our housing stock into commercial investments.

The proposed changes to this section would amount to allowing an unlimited number of STRs in residential neighborhoods and would destroy the whole intent of CCC 11.98.290 as stated in the Purpose section (CCC 11.88.290(1)).

It is unconscionable that the County would change a key element of the code that took years of effort and compromises to develop.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(2)(B)(ii)(a) – Short-Term Rental Caps

The cap on STRs within the Manson UGA is proposed to change from nine percent to six percent. Additionally, a desire to revisit/reassess all cap numbers is noted and highlighted in the proposed code text. We have also heard that the Board of County Commissioners has actually proposed that the caps be entirely eliminated, which is hard to fathom.

To put it bluntly, we have a housing crisis in Chelan County. Our Valley Our Future conducted a regional housing survey in 2022. Over 1,500 people responded, two thirds of whom were Chelan County residents. About 90 percent of the residents who completed this survey cited housing availability and affordability as either an “extremely important” or an “important” issue for the community today. The County needs to take action to increase housing availability, not turn our existing housing units into STRs.

These cap numbers were negotiated at length during the initial promulgation of the STR code. Raising caps would eliminate a large part of the purpose of the code by further upsetting the balance between STRs and dwelling units available for residential use within residentially-zoned areas. During the code development process, there was reliance on studies that examined appropriate cap percentages that were unlikely to adversely affect housing affordability and even the existing caps are above those percentages.

In the marked up version of the code posted to the SEPA Register for this hearing, Chelan County Prosecuting Attorney Marcus Foster commented on the proposal to revisit/revise the STR density caps in this section of the code as follows:

This could create an issue in litigation. These numbers were negotiated at length. So far the only testimony to change caps I know of is Manson wanting to DECREASE their cap. Raising caps eliminates a large part of the purpose of the code

RC₃ wholeheartedly agrees with Mr. Foster's comments and is deeply disturbed that the County would even consider creating this legal issue while destroying the intended purpose of the code. RC₃ is concerned about any wholesale effort to change these cap numbers and would strenuously object to any effort to increase or eliminate the cap values. We believe that Chelan County residents feel the same way and would vigorously protest any such changes.

We understand that the Board of County Commissioners has expressed that the nine percent cap for the Manson UGA (which is in addition to the six percent cap for the entire 98831 zip code) is somehow confusing. Although we don't understand the confusion, the proposed six percent cap for the Manson UGA has recently been supported by the Manson Community Council. RC₃ also supports this change.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(2)(D)(i) – Minimum Lot Sizes

A minimum lot size of 10 acres for Tier 2 STRs is proposed to be added to the code. Given that the District Use Chart already allows Tier 2 STRs in AC zoning, but no minimum lot size for this zone is currently included in CCC 11.88.290, RC₃ has no objections to this proposed change to the code.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(2)(E) – Existing STRs

We would like additional explanation about the extensive deletions of code language in this section. While we understand portions of this code are now obsolete, we would like assurances that all issues relating to the "grandfathered" STRs that were in operation prior to the adoption of this code have now been resolved.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(3)(A)(ii) – Primary or Accessory Residence

The following changes to this section of the code are proposed:

(ii) A short-term rental owner may operate only one short-term rental per parcel as designated on their permit application form, which may be in either the primary dwelling or the accessory dwelling unit but not both. The property owner (which includes title holders, corporate officers, and contract purchasers) shall occupy either the primary unit or the accessory unit as their permanent residence if property is permitted and used as a short-term rental. In the case a corporate entity owns the property, at least one principle officer must be a permanent resident of the property. Proof of residence includes, but is not limited to, government issued identification, utility bills, or other proof satisfactory to the Director. If the short-term rental occurs in a multifamily dwelling the same owner may not rent more than one unit in the development.

Since this section of the code applies to “All Short-Term Rentals”, it is unclear why a requirement that the owner occupy either the primary unit or the accessory unit is being suggested. It is our understanding that the requirement for an owner to be onsite does not apply to Tier 2 or Tier 3 STRs, even if there is a second dwelling unit. The entire (3)(A) subsection applies to ownership and dwelling unit use as an STR, not owner occupancy.

RC₃ suggests that this addition makes no sense and should be removed or modified.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(3)(B) – Short-Term Rental Occupancy Limits

The proposal to exclude children under 24 months of age from the occupancy limits is unacceptable.

The first reason is a legal issue. Many of the STRs in the county are on septic systems. Such systems are carefully designed to accommodate expected wastewater discharge based on occupancy and estimates of wastewater that is generated on a per person basis. The occupancy is determined by assuming two persons per bedroom. The discharge amount is assumed to be an average of 45 gallons per day per person and the resulting total is multiplied by 1.33 to account for surge capacity when the average daily flows may be exceeded.

Average daily flow includes the wastewater generating activities in a building. These activities typically include toilet flushing, showering and bathing, clothes washing and dishwashing, use of faucets, and other miscellaneous uses.

To exclude children under 24 months means that one has to assume that there is no wastewater generated by children. This is obviously a false assumption. Moreover, nowhere in the State regulations governing onsite sewage systems (Chapter 246-272A WAC) are children under two excluded from the calculation of occupancy and wastewater discharge for a residential structure.

If the County were to exclude children under two, the design capacity of septic systems could be exceeded on a regular basis leading to failing systems.

The second reason for objecting to the exclusion of children under two is that the result will be higher numbers of older children and adults in all STR tiers. Families and groups of renters tend to maximize the occupancy of STRs so that the per person cost is less. As it is, the occupancies

in all Tiers greatly exceed the average occupancy of a typical single family home which is 2.55 people. The higher occupancy will put greater stress on septic systems and it uses up capacity in areas serviced by sewer. High occupancies will result in more visitors in residential neighborhoods and increase the risk of parking overflow, more noise, etc. The caps on occupancy were negotiated by the STR Task Force and should not be changed.

In sum, we believe there is no justification for exempting children under two from the occupancy limits.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(3)(O) – Payment of Taxes

The following changes to this section of the code are proposed:

(O) Taxes. The owner or operator must comply with Chapter 6.30, and other local sales taxes and state hotel/motel/lodging and sales taxes in accordance with the Department of Revenue. Proof of paid sales tax and lodging tax must be provided annually at time of renewal.

RC₃ agrees that this is a reasonable change and that STR owners should be able to provide documentation indicating that these taxes have been paid based on the method of payment used and/or income tax returns.

Proposed Changes to CCC 11.98.290(4)(B)(iv)(b) – Permit Renewal Applications

The following changes to this section of the code are proposed:

(b) Permit renewal applications received after December 31st will not be accepted, and the short-term rental operating without obtaining a renewal must immediately cease operations ~~on~~by January 1st of the following year. The owner and property lose any vesting to continue operation as a legally conforming or nonconforming short-term rental under this chapter. Expired short-term rentals under this subsection are then subject to the housing cap provisions of ~~subsection (2)(B) of this section and must apply as a new short-term rental if all other provisions of this section allow, and consistent with the applicable district use chart.~~

The strikethrough portion of these proposed changes near the end of the paragraph leaves a grammatically incorrect sentence. It is not clear why this text needs changing in the first place, but as long as the intent is retained, RC₃ has no objections to changes aimed at clarification or simplification.

Closing

As the Planning Commission is aware, a great deal of thought, effort, and compromise went into the development of CCC 11.98.290 in the years leading up to its promulgation in mid-2021. Clean-up of the code to clarify and provide further detail based on its implementation over the past few years, as well as removal of now-irrelevant portions of the code, is completely



appropriate. However, changes to the code affecting the actual structure and restrictiveness of the code should only be undertaken to correct verified flaws in its effectiveness. As noted in the comments herein, RC₃ strongly objects to any proposed changes that reduce the protections which the code provides to residents and neighborhoods, and to changes which would weaken the intended protections, as stated in the Purpose section (CCC 11.88.290(1)); e.g.:

Where excess rental units exist in residential communities, it has been shown to be detrimental to the affordable residential housing inventory and adversely affect the residential character of those neighborhoods.

and

The provisions of this chapter are necessary to promote the public health and safety by protecting year-round residents' enjoyment of their homes and neighborhoods by minimizing the nuisance impact of short-term rentals on adjacent residences and by minimizing the detrimental impact of excessive short-term rentals on the affordable housing supply.

Thanks for your serious consideration of our comments. Please contact us with any questions at info@coalitionofchelancounty.org.

Sincerely,

Board of Directors
Residents Coalition of Chelan County (RC₃)

cc: Deanna Walter – Director, Chelan County Community Development

August 27, 2024

Short Term Rental Alliance of Chelan County
PO Box 321
Leavenworth, WA 98826
Info@straccwa.org

Comments for the Chelan County Planning Commission Meeting Aug 28, 2024

Dear Commissioners,

The Short Term Rental Alliance of Chelan County (STRACC) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the revisions to the STR code that are currently under consideration. Below, we briefly summarize our perspectives on several issues in the code, and we look forward to engaging further as this process proceeds.

Excluding infants under 24 months from two-per-bedroom occupancy limit.

STRACC welcomes this proposed change, which would make Chelan County's code more consistent with that of peer jurisdictions and with the practices of leading booking platforms. Booking platforms including Airbnb do not count infants under 24 months as guests at the time of booking. As such, STR operators in Chelan County are placed in an awkward position of cancelling reservations, issuing refunds, and forcing guests to rebook elsewhere upon learning that a guest made a reservation that includes infants under 24 months. There is no evidence that this industry-standard practice of omitting infants from guest limits has caused problems with noise, parking, or septic systems in peer jurisdictions.

Changing Tier 1 to include a manager or operator on site.

This change would increase opportunities to develop parcels with a long-term renter in one unit serving as an on-site manager for an STR, incentivizing more rental housing development and allowing for creative arrangements for on-site managers to defray their rent costs.

Language in 3(A)(ii) on page 29 of the PC's August 28 packet seems to require owner to live on site, which contradicts earlier language opening up Tier 1's to include owners, managers, and operators on site. It may be intended to apply only to parcels with multiple dwelling units, but could be interpreted to apply to any parcel. The intention of this passage should be clarified.

Replacing self-certification with mandatory annual inspections.

STRACC opposes this change, which will impose significant time and monetary costs on owners with little benefit. There is no evidence that there is currently a problem with false reporting or safety issues. Homeowners, STR operators, and insurance companies are well aware of liabilities and are adequately incentivized to address issues in self-certification.

Requiring proof of paying sales tax and lodging tax annually at time of renewal.

STRACC believes this change is unlikely to achieve any real benefit, but it is going to impose compliance costs on operators. Most STR bookings are made through platforms such as VRBO and Airbnb, which collect and remit sales and lodging taxes on behalf of operators. Larger property management companies also take direct bookings, and are already required by state law to pay all applicable taxes. Requiring documentation of taxes paid will force owners to compile documentation from across multiple platforms, but is unlikely to increase tax collections. The Department of Revenue is competent to ensure collection of sales and lodging taxes, and enforcement is best left to them.

Changes to parking rules.

STRACC welcomes the removal of the requirement that parking not be located in a setback. This change will hold STRs to the same standards as other home owners in this regard.

STRACC is concerned that the language defining “vehicles” may be too broad as written. It could be interpreted to count a truck towing a trailer with two personal watercraft on it as 4 vehicles.

STRACC encourages allowing property managers to establish site-specific parking limits, and to clearly communicate these to guests. A single vehicle can easily accommodate 4 or even 6 guests. The requirement of one parking space per two guests encourages additional traffic on highways and roads in our county.

Replacing discretionary hardship exemption for late permit renewal applications with progressive late fees and delayed issuance.

This is a reasonable proposal that STRACC believes would be better than the status quo for both owners and CD staff.

Changing cap percentages.

STRACC recognizes that a cap on STRs was central to the Task Force agreement. However, it has become clear that STRs are not uniformly distributed around the county. STRACC recommends applying a 6% cap at the county level, rather than separately within ZIP codes and subareas. Under such a system, only the countywide STR percentage would be used to determine whether new STR permits can be issued.

If ZIP code and subarea caps are retained, STRACC recommends increasing the caps in the Leavenworth ZIP code to 9% in return for reducing the Manson cap from 9% to 6%. This would bring the Leavenworth area cap into line with current STR counts, which represent more than a 60% reduction from 2020 levels.

Signage Rules

STRACC believes the requirements for signs to be permanent, weatherproof, and reflective to be excessive. Most STR operators have spent hundreds of dollars per property on new signs since the code was adopted. Changing the requirements now will require them to spend even more to replace functional signs.

Additional Land Use Permits

4(C)(i) on p. 37 states that operating an STR requires an STR permit “and, in some cases, an additional land use permit.” It is not clear what additional permits this is referring to. STRACC would like to better understand the implications of this language for STR operators.

Cleaning up language relating to existing nonconforming STRs.

STRACC appreciates the effort to clean up the code by removing sections that are no longer relevant. However, before this is done, we would like to ensure that removal of this language cannot be later construed to remove the right to continued operation for existing nonconforming STRs that were in operations before the original code was adopted.

Thank you for your consideration.

Board of Directors
Short Term Rental Alliance of Chelan County

From: Mark Kirshner <markkirshner@windermere.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 11:51 AM
To: Tiffany Gering <Tiffany.Gering@CO.CHELAN.WA.US>
Subject: RE: STR Regulations

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Good morning Tiffany,

Thank you for sending this my way. I read through it and I like a lot of the changes. I don't see any changes that would materially change our situation. Under the renewal section of this proposal, I would like to add the following **verbiage**:

annually by October 31st of the preceding year.

(a) At their discretion the director may, upon showing of a hardship reason for applicant's delay, accept permit renewal applications received after October 31st but before December 31st of the same year and may assess double the normal fees for permitting, Annual renewal applications will be considered on time if received between September 1 – October 31 each year. Renewal applications received between November 1 – November 30 will be considered late, and will pay a late fee of \$--- in addition to the renewal fee. Renewal applications received between December 1 – December 31 will be considered late, and will pay a late fee of \$--- in addition to the renewal fee. Late renewals will be processed, but provided the short-term rental may not continue operation past December 31st until the permit application is approved and a permit issued, and renewal approval is not guaranteed. This applies to all permit tier levels.

Upon adoption of this change, the Planning Director shall notify, within 60 days, previous STR permit holders who lost eligibility for a renewal because of their late submission, that they may re-apply for an STR permit under the framework of this change of regulation. The applicant is responsible to meet all other requirements of the STR code.

Mark Kirshner

Managing Broker

Windermere Real Estate/M2 LLC

9502 19th Ave SE Everett, WA 98208

Cell: (425) 737-4663

Office: (425) 338-0600



Cindy Wright

From: innkeeper@cashmeremountainbandb.com
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 11:38 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Regulation Change Meeting

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am sending this email with regard to the upcoming meeting on August 28th to address changes to the current STR regulations.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County.

Hours and hours were spent on adopting the current regulations with many concessions made on both sides that have been working very well, so why would we feel the need to go over this again!

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

More homes are now open for locals to purchase, prices of homes have steadied and people have been able to enjoy their own neighborhoods and actually have neighbors that are local and live in the community. A vacation rental next to us sold due to the regulations and we have finally got our neighborhood/community back enjoying each other, the quiet etc that goes along with living in a community with locals and not mostly vacation rentals.

We have friends that were forced to sell their home moving to Wenatchee a few years prior to the new regulations going into effect because their neighborhood off Icicle Rd was primarily vacation rentals making it miserable to live there with all of the noise, renters parking and driving on their property constantly and even having an illegal bonfire in the backyard next door in August among all of the trees the line properties. They even purchased sound proof windows to help. It went on and on. They were constantly policing renters and couldn't take it anymore!

We talked to another friend that had all vacation rentals surrounding his home on East Leavenworth Road just the other day. He was thrilled that finally enforcement has shut those down with all of the cars coming and going at all hours of the night along with noise and parties, garbage all over the side of the road, etc. Three of the houses completely ignored the regulations and only recently have been shut down! This is the attitude of some of these owners!

I am also opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied!! This would allow investors to buy up even more homes in the county that would not be managed by the owners living on the property. When owners live on the property, it eliminates noise and other abuses by vacation renters. I own Cashmere Mountain Bed & Breakfast. Clearly having us on the property monitoring our guests helps our quiet neighborhood community off North Road. When we had a non owner occupied vacation rental next door, cars were speeding up and down our road and up our private single lane road with blind corners making it dangerous for all of us especially with small children at play.

I am opposed to excluding anyone from the head count. The number of people at the vacation rental should include all ages. Who will regulate if a child is actually two and under allowing more people at the rental that could be older?

Please keep the regulations that are now in place. They work and there is no reason to change something that is working great for our county! Why would the county go backwards when cities and towns all over the world (Barcelona recently) are placing strong regulations on short term rentals to take back their communities.

Thank you for your consideration,

Rochelle Shugrue
10300 Suncrest Drive
Leavenworth, WA 98826
509-548-2382

Cindy Wright

From: Barbara Rossing <brossing@lstc.edu>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 9:36 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Oppose changes to Short Term Rental Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commission and Commissioners

I am so disheartened to hear that changes are again being proposed to the Short Term Rental Code. It's like the movie Groundhog Day: we had these exact same debates 3 years ago!

STR businesses and organizations keep trying the same tactics to erode the Code's protections, over and over, in order to make more money-- at the expense of residents.

Here is the history, as you recall:

The current 2021 Code was a compromise arrived at after much debate, for two years, including many trade-offs that favored STRs.

The 6 % percent cap was a trade-off in exchange for grandfathering most existing rentals. That is the reason we have so many STRs in the Leavenworth zone-- they were most all grandfathered in!

The rule including all infants and children in the occupancy limits was agreed on in a bitter trade-off for occupancy limits higher than residents favored. (Tier 1 limit of 8 renters was higher than we wanted.)

The absence of a cap for Tier 1's (they are not subject to the 6% density cap, so we have seen a proliferation of Tier 1's in neighborhoods that exceed the 6% cap) was a trade-off for **owner being required to live on site**, in residence, during any rental.

Please do not change the Code!

1. I oppose any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in residential zones where the 6% cap has been exceeded. Leavenworth, Chelan, Plain, and Manson have enough STRs already-- in fact, too many, because of the grandfathered STRs that increase our percentages far above the 6%. Commercial Zones, which do not have a cap, is where more STRs belong, since they are commercial businesses. Residential zones are for residents. We already have a housing crisis. More STRs will only make the housing crisis worse for residents and our children. Already, unlimited numbers of Tier 1's are being built... But at least there is a density cap on Tiers 2 and 3.
2. Do not change the 6% density cap. We need it. I hope we will go down to that percentage within my lifetime, but that would mean developers need to build more housing for long-term residents. A dream!
3. Do not change the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be **owner** -occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an a mother-in-law apartment or ADU so that they could earn modest additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County. Already we have too many Tier 1's where the owner supposedly lives in the ADU and rents out the larger house as an STR. But at least the owner must reside on site, in their own HOME. That rule is crucial. Otherwise we will many more see absentee-owner Tier 1's built as a way to evade the 6% cap!
4. Do not exclude children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. The current occupancy limits are already high. Why make any change that will result in even more children and adults in short term rentals? Is 16 persons plus infants and small children normal in a residential zone?-- NO. These STRs already make so much more noise than residents. Increasing occupancy limits by not counting young children would only make that noise worse.

Thankfully, things are better for neighbors since the new Code was adopted, but not perfect. For example, improvements include:

- The gigantic 8-bedroom STR "lodge" next to us can now only rent to 16, including children under age 2. (Before the Code, they advertised renting to 24!) We were sad the County allowed them a Tier 3 permit, since East Leavenworth Rd is not a "highway." But at least they can only rent to 16, and that number must include infants and children, no matter what age children.
- One of the Tier 2's across the street from us is still too noisy; but thankfully with the Code they are only allowed to rent to ONE large group, two noisy groups on separate floors of the duplex.
- The new Tier 1 house across the street rents to party groups that keep lights and noise at a much higher level than normal neighbors. But at least the owner is required to be on site during the parties.

Please do not succumb to pressure to change the Code in favor of STR owners or developers who want more and larger STRs. Let them build them in Commercial Zones, not residential zones.

The County should care about residents. Housing for residents is the primary purpose of residential zones. We have a housing crisis. Relaxing the Code would only make the housing crisis worse.

I am discouraged and disheartened that the County is proposing such drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. Why go backwards now? Is it just for MONEY?

Is it Groundhog Day?

Thank you,

Barbara Rossing, 7785 E. Leavenworth Rd

Cindy Wright

From: The RPM Group <info@therpmgroup.net>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 11:28 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Planning Commission Comments

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Chelan County Community Development,

I am writing to respectfully request your consideration in amending the current short-term rental occupancy code, specifically the provision that includes children under the age of two in the total occupancy count. While I fully support the County's efforts to regulate short-term rentals in order to maintain neighborhood tranquility and protect infrastructure, I believe this aspect of the code may not serve its intended purpose and could be revised for greater fairness and practicality.

Reason for Request

The current code, as written, applies the occupancy limit uniformly to all individuals, including infants and toddlers under two years old. This interpretation does not align with the primary concerns that the code was designed to address noise levels, unauthorized parking, and strain on septic systems. Allow me to elaborate:

1. **Noise Control:** Children under two years old, by nature, are not significant contributors to noise that would disturb neighbors. Their inclusion in the occupancy cap is unlikely to prevent or mitigate noise issues within a neighborhood.
2. **Parking:** Infants do not contribute to parking demands, as they do not require vehicles. The current code's inclusion of children under two in the occupancy count does not alleviate potential parking concerns.
3. **Septic System Capacity:** The waste generated by children under two is minimal compared to that of older children and adults. Their impact on septic systems is negligible and does not justify their inclusion in the occupancy count.

Proposed Amendment

To better align the code with its original intent, I propose that the county amend the short-term rental occupancy regulations to exclude children under the age of two from the total occupancy count. This change would maintain the integrity of the county's regulations while offering a more practical approach for families who wish to stay in our community.

Supporting Evidence

Many other jurisdictions have adopted similar provisions, recognizing that infants do not contribute significantly to the issues the regulations are designed to address. These amendments have been implemented successfully without negative consequences, providing a fair and balanced approach to occupancy limits.

Conclusion

Amending the code in this way will not only address the practical concerns mentioned above but also ensure that families with young children are not unfairly penalized when seeking to enjoy short-term

accommodations in our county. This change will help strike a balance between preserving community standards and allowing responsible use of short-term rentals.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this request. I am confident that this small but meaningful adjustment will benefit both the residents and visitors of our county.

Sincerely,

Sean Reid

seanr@therpmgroup.net

Web: www.VacayinWA.com

Direct - 509-906-1889

Mobile -206-300-2299



Cindy Wright

From: Lehren <lehrenllc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 12:08 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Regulatory updates on STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Members of the Chelan County Planning Commission,

I am writing to respectfully urge you to reconsider the current and proposed regulations on short-term rentals (STRs) in Chelan County. While I understand the need for thoughtful regulation in our community, I believe that overly restrictive policies could lead to unintended consequences, including potential legal action from those affected. These restrictions not only undermine the rights of property owners but also put the county at risk of lawsuits, which could be both costly and time-consuming.

Restrictive policies have a history of sparking legal battles, especially when they disproportionately affect certain groups of property owners, such as those who rely on rental income. By limiting STRs, the county may inadvertently open itself up to claims that property rights are being unfairly curtailed. This can be particularly problematic when owners have made significant investments in their properties with the expectation that they could rent them out as part of their financial planning. Without offering reasonable alternatives or providing sufficient avenues for STR owners to remain in compliance, the county could face legal challenges that claim economic harm or property devaluation.

Additionally, short-term rentals play an essential role in supporting tourism in Chelan County, particularly in beloved towns like Leavenworth. Restricting STRs could have far-reaching economic consequences that extend beyond property owners, affecting local businesses, restaurants, and even tax revenues that rely on visitors who stay in these rentals. This is not a matter of one industry suffering; it is about the broader economic ecosystem of Chelan County, which has long been buoyed by the steady influx of tourists.

Leavenworth, Washington, is a prime example of how short-term rentals have enhanced the local economy while allowing visitors to experience the unique charm of the area. People flock to Leavenworth for its distinct Bavarian architecture, vibrant festivals, and scenic beauty. The town is more than just a destination—it offers an immersive cultural experience, where visitors can enjoy everything from authentic German cuisine to holiday markets and outdoor recreation. Short-term rentals provide an affordable and accessible option for families, couples, and tourists looking for a home-like setting where they can relax and fully engage with the area.

For many travelers, staying in a short-term rental is the preferred way to experience Leavenworth, offering an intimate connection with the community that traditional hotels cannot replicate. These rentals allow guests to be part of the town's unique culture, often nestled in charming neighborhoods that showcase the natural beauty of the region. Whether visiting for the annual Christmas Lighting Festival, Oktoberfest, or just to enjoy the majestic Cascade Mountains, short-term rentals offer flexibility and comfort that enhance the visitor experience.

Beyond its aesthetic and cultural draw, Leavenworth is a gateway to outdoor adventures like hiking, river rafting, skiing, and wine tasting in the nearby Wenatchee Valley. With such a diverse range of activities, visitors often seek out STRs for longer stays where they can enjoy both the festive town atmosphere and the surrounding wilderness. Limiting the availability of these accommodations could deter tourism, leading to a potential drop in visitor numbers and a subsequent decline in local business revenues. This would affect not only the property owners but also the community at large.

In closing, I urge the Planning Commission to carefully evaluate the long-term effects of its policies on short-term rentals. While regulation is necessary, it must be balanced to protect both the rights of property owners and the vibrancy of Chelan County's tourism-driven economy. Overly restrictive measures could result in legal challenges and harm to the local economy—outcomes that I believe are avoidable with thoughtful reconsideration.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. I am confident that with a balanced approach, we can preserve the unique character of Leavenworth and Chelan County while ensuring that our local economy remains strong and inclusive for all.

Sincerely,

Kelly Ambrosian

Cindy Wright

From: Anika Ryka <anikaryka@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 12:34 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Letter from Jackson on 6% cap and county discrimination

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To Planning Commissioners,

It has become abundantly clear that the current short-term rental (STR) regulations in Chelan County are not only overly restrictive, but they are actively harming property owners, local businesses, and the very economy that tourism has long supported. The burdensome and rigid rules, including the arbitrary 6% cap by zip code, have resulted in unnecessary limitations on property owners' rights, while other residents who contribute far greater strain to local infrastructure are not held to the same standard. This disparity is both unjust and unacceptable.

The 6% cap per zip code is a blunt instrument that punishes responsible property owners and stifles the tourism industry. Tourism is one of the cornerstones of Chelan County's economy, and short-term rentals are a crucial part of that industry. Why is the county imposing arbitrary limits on a vital economic driver? This cap is choking local growth and causing harm to small businesses that depend on the steady influx of tourists. Furthermore, the cap completely disregards the fact that different areas within the same zip code have drastically different needs and tourism demands.

Additionally, your current enforcement practices regarding septic limitations for STRs are hypocritical at best and discriminatory at worst. Short-term rental owners are being unfairly targeted for occupancy limits based on septic system capacity, while full-time residents and long-term renters regularly exceed those same limits without any enforcement. How is it fair to penalize property owners who rent to short-term guests for a few days, while year-round residents or long-term renters—who place a far greater strain on septic systems—go completely unchecked? The double standard is glaring and must be addressed.

On top of this, the county's decision to hire significant code enforcement personnel and deploy an online surveillance agency to "spy" on STR owners is nothing short of government overreach. It's an affront to personal privacy and property rights, creating a hostile environment where property owners are unfairly surveilled and penalized. The level of enforcement being applied to STRs is disproportionate and extreme, bordering on harassment. It is offensive that the county has chosen to treat STR owners as though they are criminals when they are simply trying to participate in the local economy.

The message is clear: Chelan County is unfairly targeting STR owners while ignoring other residents who are doing more harm to local infrastructure. This treatment is not only harmful but utterly unjust. It is time for Chelan County to

wake up and recognize that these regulations are causing more damage than good. The current code must be overhauled immediately.

I demand that the 6% cap by zip code be removed, and that enforcement of septic limits be applied equally across all homeowners—both STR and non-STR. Furthermore, the county needs to reduce its reliance on invasive surveillance practices and overbearing code enforcement. The path you are on will result in likely more lawsuits if Chelan County continues to treat STR owners as scapegoats for every community issue.

I strongly urge you to take immediate action to reduce these harmful regulations before more damage is done to property owners and the local economy.

Form:

Jackson & Anika Ryka

Cindy Wright

From: Joni Fisher <jonimfisher@msn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 11:09 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Comments

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello Commissioners,

I would like to send in a couple comments on the proposed STR Code changes.

1. Not counting kids 2 and under would be a huge improvement.
 - a. One to align with most other jurisdictions and platforms
 - b. More importantly, families are the best renters. Asleep early, no wild parties. I love to see the multi-generational renters, it's a great memory making experience, introduces kids to the wonders of Chelan County and are the best repeat customers.
2. Allowing "Renter/Care Taker" to manager Tier 1's would be a huge benefit to Chelan County tourism and affordable housing, just think if some of the current ADU's and potentially new ADU's could be renter to local residents at a discounted rate, Win Win. The current rule is just meant to make it harder to have an STR, this is a win for RUN but isn't a win for most of the residents of Chelan County.
3. Is there an issue with the current signage requirements we are trying to solve here or just add another burden? Do we really need glowing permanent signs on our homes, this is intrusive to the neighborhood appeal and neighbors. We aren't trying to make the neighborhoods look or feel like commercial zones, why does the county want or need this? This shouldn't be any more of a safety issue for fire, 911 then any other residence.
4. STR's and Resident homes should be treated equally in the rules for parking... these parking rules were just used as another way to shut down some STR's. Not due to actually issues or fairly enforcing these requirements for all.
5. Why are we revisiting the Manson UGA percentage, with the 200FT limit, Manson is unlikely to hit the 9% limit. It was negotiated and agreed to by the representative from the Mason Community counsel. We can also clearly see by the current percentages in the UGA, this 9% caps is not causing an issue or explosion in STR's.
6. Why is this needed, have there been issues? Eliminates self-certification checklist and instead requires annual inspections for "life-safety and applicable short-term rental or conditional land use permit standards." No evidence there's a problem with false reporting or safety issues, but there will be a lot of headaches, money and time for owners, CD and the fire marshal to deal with scheduling and reporting inspections. Likely an additional inspection fee to be added to already-increasing permit fees
7. This is nearly impossible for an STR to do, most of the homes are on sites that collect and pay the taxes on our behalf. We have already tried this and determined this is not feasible. There could be a few bad actor's out there but there is slim minority. Contrary to popular belief most STR owners do Love and Care about Chelan County and do the right/legal thing.
8. Replaces discretionary hardship exemption for late permit renewal applications with late fees (amount TBD), and no commitment to process them on time: This 100% should be changed, having one person get to decided what is and isn't a hard ship, and a person who is anti-STR is not a good process. Fine the late renewals with double permit fee fine and they will be on time the next year. There should be some commitment on processing the renewals, CD must have some guidelines spelled out in this, or they are let them linger indefinitely. Arbitrary decisions should not be allowed.

9. Please don't change the transfer requirements to include changes required due to divorce or death of one or both owners. Sure if the house is sold, that makes sense but this is just trying to make it more difficult for the current owners.
10. Although not listed... requiring a land line in all STR's is antiquated technology and not really solving any safety issues. If a home doesn't have cell service maybe they should be required but 90+ % of the houses do have cell coverage. This has just been a big when for Local Tel, other providers and tax authorities. There are pretty high taxes on a land line. My phone has cost me 1K so far in two years, has rang 5 times and appears to have had 10 out going calls.

Sincerely,
Joni Fisher

Cindy Wright

From: Residents Coalition of Chelan County <info@coalitionofchelancounty.org>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 12:03 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: Deanna C. Walter; Kirsten Ryles
Subject: Comments on Proposed Changes to Short Term Rental Code
Attachments: RC3 Letter to PC for Aug 28 Hearing (8_23_24).pdf

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commissioners,

Our comments on the proposed changes to Section 11.88.290 – Short Term Rental Code are attached.

Sincerely,

Board of Directors
Residents Coalition of Chelan County (RC3)

Cindy Wright

From: Barbee Teasley <beeteasley@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 1:02 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Planning Commission -

Who were you elected to represent? The 90% of the STR owners who don't even live in Chelan county, or those of us who do live here? The idea that changes to the STR policy are even up for review so soon after the last go round is preposterous. the Residents have spoken and worked to achieve a compromise. And now it's open up for revision again?!

- We need a decrease in the number of STRs, not an increase. Make policies that support that goal
- Make sure Tier 1 rentals continue to require an owner in place. AN OWNER THAT LIVES HERE
- How can babies suddenly NOT be people? Don't they use water, take space, use the septic systems etc etc just like any human? What a shameless scam.

It IS possible to go too far in bringing in tourists. I offer you this article as proof.



'The Demand Is Unstoppable': Can Barcelona Survive Mass Tourism? (Gift Article)
nytimes.com

Let's keep Chelan County Commissioners serving the actual citizens of our county, and not the STR owners whose only goal is making more money that does not stay in the county.

Barbee Teasley
9510 Eagle Creek Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826
509-881-7674

Cindy Wright

From: Mimi Reid <mimi@2reids.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 1:19 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Chelan County STR proposed changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear County Commissioner,

1. I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
2. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
3. I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
4. I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Please keep the STRs as they are for local residents.

Thank you,

Mimi Reid
17751 N Shore Dr.
Leavenworth, WA

Cindy Wright

From: Inmusick@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 1:49 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Lauren Musick and I have been a resident of Leavenworth for the past 12 years. We've chosen to start a family here and are frustrated to hear about the proposed short term rental changes. We are already facing a housing crisis for our local community and these changes put long term rentals and the housing supply in general at risk. We need homes for people who live and work in our community like teachers, nurses, and firefighters.

There should be no change on the 6% cap for STRs. Additionally eliminating the on site homeowner requirement for tier one rentals is only going to lead to more properties becoming STRs and taking valuable housing away from our local community. We want to raise our son in community full of people who can live and work here, not not a community destroyed by over tourism. Please support our local community and do not change the current short term rental code.

Thanks,
Lauren Musick
211 Cherry st, Leavenworth

Sent from my iPhone

Cindy Wright

From: Sandra Phenning <sandra.phenning@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 1:50 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Planning Commission ,

We live in the midst of many STR's. We have voiced concerns and supported the changes that were made in 2021. Those changes improved many of the ongoing noise, trash, and trespassing issues we have with STR's. But did not eliminate them.

We oppose any relaxing of those codes, as there are still issues with having STR's in our residential area. We continue to have trash pulled onto our property by bears when STR guests do not secure their garbage. We have people walking through our property to take advantage of better views or sledding hills than where they rented. We have late night noise issues not always solved by calling the property manager. Several of the STR's still don't have signs with the manager's number. We have requested the required sign from both the property managers and filed complaints with Code Enforcement, but still no sign.

We also have adult children that have been unable to find housing, either a rental or home to purchase, because the extreme profitability of STR's has priced young families right out of the market. They cannot compete with commercial income property prices/rents.

The newly instituted cap is important and should NOT be adjusted down. With it, we may have finally have a chance to reestablish a more residential neighborhood in many of our local areas.

The biggest change we always see in an STR is when the owners are onsite. The guests are always so much better behaved and any problems are easy to fix when we are dealing with a neighbor, not an investor or property management firm. I wish ALL tiers had that requirement.

Finally, we have had problems with STR's when they do not count small children in the capacity. Often, they will cram a home with young families, stash the kids at the house with a sitter, and the adults go out. This has the double whammy of kids running amuck in the area and inebriated parents noisily arriving back in the wee hours of the morning.

Please continue to listen and consider the communities, neighborhoods, and individuals impacted by the business of STR's dropped in our midst.

Thank you,
Sandra Phenning

*The most difficult thing is the decision to act;
the rest is merely tenacity.*
—Amelia Earhart

Cindy Wright

From: Elizabeth McIndoe <mcindoeeliz14@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 2:22 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STRs in Chelan County

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am writing to vehemently oppose any changes to the STR code now in place in Chelan County.

This code was adopted, finally, after a hard fought campaign, following the rules set for such changes in the county, and after many hours of debate, conversation and tedious work from those close to the process. The communities spoke of their fears, the lack of housing for those that work hard to be here, the degeneration of local neighborhoods where our children grow up, and the residents demanded that commercial tourist lodging be kept in commercial districts.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Please LISTEN to your constituents and residents. The quality of life for all is at stake.

Betsy McIndoe
Chelan County resident

Cindy Wright

From: Jenny Copson <jennycopson@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 2:25 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: No STR codes revisions!

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern,

I oppose any modifications to the STR code that would lead to an increase in short-term rentals (STRs) within the County. Given our housing crisis, it's crucial to preserve our housing stock for residents in need of homes. I am against altering the 6% cap on STRs and am also against the amendment that would no longer require Tier 1 rentals to be owner-occupied. The Tier 1 category was designed to enable homeowners to earn additional income by renting out accessory units or basement apartments, aiding them in affording their homes, not for investors to acquire more properties in Chelan County. Additionally, I oppose the proposal to not include children under two in the occupancy count, as this could lead to an increase in the number of older children and adults staying in short-term rentals.

Do not revised the STR codes! Chelan county is a special place, please protect it and help us keep our amazing communities intact.

Thanks,

Jennifer Copson
(Leavenworth fulltime resident)

Cindy Wright

From: Gary Phenning <im4leroy@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 2:48 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Short Term Rental

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

In 2021 after a long and contentious struggle, specific codes were finally established for STRs. It is my understanding that now there are revisions that loosen the restrictions for STRs being considered. I am opposed to any increase in the number of STRs in this county. In the Leavenworth area we have many STRs already that frequently operate in violation of these codes. Reporting STR violations to the county results in no response at the violating site and the county office saying they don't have the manpower to enforce these reports. Fix the county infrastructure first and let those with the STRs pay for it.

Increasing the 6% cap is a horrible idea. With the current cap in place neighborhoods are already diluted where many of the homes are not full time residents who have no vested interest in keeping a neighborhood up. They do the bare minimum to only facilitate the next rental. You need only to look at other tourist-based towns that have let the STR volume go unchecked to see the negative results. Do not let investors push the idea that it won't be like that here. It will.

When you live in neighborhoods with Tier 1 STRs, they are the only ones with a vested interest in helping maintain a neighborhood. That is only due to the fact that the owner is living on site and available to monitor renters and maintain upkeep. I am for letting homeowners rent out space in their homes that they live in for their personal income but am opposed to removing the owner occupied requirement.

Leave the occupancy limits as they are. Children are people and should not be excluded in the occupancy limits even if they are under the age of two.

Thank you

Gary Phenning
Leavenworth

Cindy Wright

From: paul zuleta <pzexperience@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 2:55 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR rules

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hi there,

I strongly feel there should be a friends and family exemption for STRs. We want to share our place with close friends and family. Doing so thru a short term rental platform provides a lot of benefits.

Please add friends and family exemption.

Thank you,

Chelan County Homeowner
Paul

Cindy Wright

From: Patty <pattymmhebert@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 3:14 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Oppose STR PROPOSED changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

As a Chelan county resident impacted by illegal STRs, I'm against any proposed changes that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Concerned citizens spent countless hours proving the need for these regulations to protect the quality of Chelan Co neighborhoods. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes. I'm opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I'm opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I'm opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals. Please do not end run the process that we faithfully adhered to let profiteers sacrifice our communities for financial gain. Respectfully, Patricia Hebert 542 Dempsey Rd, Leavenworth WA. Cell # 425-765-6729

Cindy Wright

From: Susan Dodge <susandodge46@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 3:23 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am a resident of Chelan County and live on Mountain Home Road. I am 100% TOTALLY AGAINST changing the current STR codes!!! I have lived here since 1987 and have watched the many changes that have taken place in our County. It took a long time and a great deal of effort to draw up and pass the current codes (that haven't been in effect for very long) and now changes are being proposed???????? NO!

Susan Dodge

Cindy Wright

From: Laila Sharpe <laila.sharpe@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 4:49 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR ordinance

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commission,

We are opposed to making changes to the STR codes that were so earnestly worked for on behalf of our community. When we bought our home in Leavenworth there was one STR next door that we soon discovered was the “party house” with owners who live on the west side and are never around. Now we have four STRs around us and it diminishes the sense of neighborhood and community. It also becomes challenging for long term renters in need of housing. We’re losing our sense of neighborhood due to monetary greed, often to those who aren’t even part of the community. It’s bad enough as it is, so PLEASE do not change the 6% cap or requirement for owner occupied rentals. Let’s live in peace.

Thank you,
Laila Sharpe

Sent from my iPhone

Cindy Wright

From: jeff jeffconwell.com <jeff@jeffconwell.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 5:22 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Members of The Chelan County Planning Commission-

I urge you in the strongest terms to remove STR opportunities in traditional neighborhoods where, without the thought or concern for the neighbors affected, these imposing businesses have been allowed to take root.

Two have been operating in my neighborhood for several years now. This neighborhood was created when this concept wasn't an idea, therefore NOT allowing them was not considered as part of the language of our CC&R's. Adding that language now is virtually impossible. So the rest of neighborhood suffers.

My wife & I turned our home into an STR with no intentions of ever renting. We did so just to create the barrier and prevent those right next to us from turning into an STR. What a thing the county has allowed that so dramatically infringes on the original intent of a quiet residential community. It's crazy we would have to resort to this type of action to preserve our existence at a residence we built 25 years ago. It shouldn't be this way.

Further, I stand opposed to the following:

1. I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
2. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
3. I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
4. I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

I'm for STRs in communities DESIGNED for the opportunity. Wapato Point Resort, The Look Out are great examples of that opportunity. Thrusting this on residential neighborhoods is just wrong.

Many communities are aggressively limiting short term rentals. I'm bewildered by the county's actions given our severe housing crises and the fact that the vast majority of these STRS are owned by NON-RESIDENTS.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff Conwell
Manson Resident
509-433-8300

Cindy Wright

From: Ed Martinez <edmar1960@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 23, 2024 5:27 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Urgent: Preserve Chelan County's STR Code and Protect Our Community

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Planning Commissioners,

I am writing to express my deep concern regarding the proposed changes to the Chelan County Short Term Rental (STR) code. As a resident who values the integrity and sustainability of our community, I urge you to reconsider these revisions that threaten our neighborhoods and housing availability.

The existing STR code, adopted in July 2021, was the result of extensive community involvement and significant investment of county resources. It provides essential controls on the location, number, and operation of STRs, ensuring that our residential areas remain vibrant and cohesive. The proposed changes, however, undermine these efforts and could have detrimental impacts on our community:

- **Removal of the 6% Cap**: Eliminating the cap on STRs would increase their presence in residential neighborhoods, exacerbating our housing crisis. It is crucial to preserve our housing stock for residents, not investors seeking to profit from our tourist economy.
- **Tier 1 Owner-Occupancy Requirement**: Allowing renters to manage Tier 1 STRs without owner occupancy diminishes neighborhood character and cohesion. Homeowners have a vested interest in maintaining community standards, which is not guaranteed with renter management.
- **Occupancy Count Exclusion for Children Under Two**: Excluding young children from occupancy limits could lead to overcrowding, as renters aim to maximize occupancy. This change could strain our neighborhoods and impact the quality of life for residents.

The county has already invested significant tax dollars in developing and implementing the current STR regulations. These rules were designed to balance the needs of residents with the economic benefits of tourism. Altering them now would not only waste these resources but also jeopardize the stability and future of our community.

I strongly oppose any changes that would increase the number of STRs, alter the 6% cap, or weaken the owner-occupancy requirement for Tier 1 rentals. I urge you to prioritize the needs of Chelan County residents and maintain the existing STR code.

Thank you for considering my concerns.

Sincerely,
Ed. Martinez
17055 N Shore Dr.
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Ph. 425 457 6266

Cindy Wright

From: Todd Doherty <tbd1030@outlook.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 7:42 AM
To: CD Comment
Cc: Tracey Doherty
Subject: Changes to STR Codes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Greetings,

Our family is very opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have had very negative experiences with absentee owners of STRs that border our property. We are specifically opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs, and changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied.

Please do not sacrifice the well being of residents in the county for the economic benefit of those outside of it.

Kind Regards,

Todd Doherty
Leavenworth, WA
Home: 509.888.5889
Mobile: 510.390.4651
Tbd1030@outlook.com

Cindy Wright

From: Andy Day <andyday208@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 9:47 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed changes to STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Members of the Planning Commission:

I was saddened to hear that after residents of our Chelan County worked so hard to establish limits on short-term rentals (STRs), that the County is proposing drastic changes that will negatively affect those who reside and vote in Chelan County. The negative impacts of STRs have not changed - reducing the supply of houses for residents and workers, more strangers in residential neighborhoods, and problems with parties and noise. Lightly regulated STRs can dramatically alter the fabric and livability of communities as evidenced by the experience of Sedona, AZ and many other communities around the world. Let's not go backwards!

To be specific, I encourage you join me in opposition to proposed changes to the STR code including:

-
-
- Limits on the numbers of STRs
-
-
- Any changes to the 6% cap on STRs.
-
- Requirement for rentals to be owner occupied.
-
-
- Excluding kids under two from the head count for occupancy limits.
-

The bottom line is, given the housing crisis we are in, we cannot afford to lose any more housing units to investors and people who are not planning to live here full time.

Thank you for your careful consideration of this critical issue.

Andy Day
340 Prospect St, C4

Cindy Wright

From: Aaron Hansen <hansen.a@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 10:15 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: No STR code changes please

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hi,

Please maintain the current STR related code as is.

I'm opposed to any changes that would result in additional STR in the county. Building community starts at the neighborhood level. I've lived in places where I didn't know my neighbors and the lack of community was one of the major factors that lead us to move away. To that end, I'm specifically against any changes to the requirement that Tier 1 rentals are owner occupied.

There's already not enough affordable housing in our community. Please don't make the problem worse by allowing more STR's into our community.

Thank you,
Aaron Hansen

Cindy Wright

From: buford@tumwater.net
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 10:22 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Rules

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

As a long-time resident (35 years) of Chelan County, I am adamantly opposed to ANY changes in the present STR rules. Adjacent to our property are two STRs - one is a tier 1 and the other is a tier 2. There have been no problems with the tier 1 property and just a few with the tier 2.

In short, nearby STRs have not been a major problem with the current rules. There are currently two tier 1, three tier 2, and one tier 3 STRs in our neighborhood. Since almost half of the homes in our neighborhood are second homes, I fear more would be converted to STRs if the rules were relaxed.

The current STR rules were adopted after much research and community involvement. As I wrote above, I am adamantly opposed to any changes in the current rules.

Buford Howell
509-433-1476

Cindy Wright

From: Tim Seaman <tkseaman@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 10:59 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed Changes to the STR Codes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I cannot believe we are back dealing with STR's in the county. I am opposed to any changes to the codes that were hammered out previously. The 6% cap is appropriate and should be enforced. Landlord/owners Have to be present to keep some semblance of order and decency throughout our neighborhood. Every beating heart needs to be counted as an occupant because there is a limit to how much infrastructure is required for each and every beating heart.

Do your job and enforce the existing codes and quit looking for ways to get around them!

Cindy Wright

From: Gretchen Daiber <rocklady@tumwater.net>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 11:37 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

August 24, 2024

Attention:
Chelan County Board of Commissioners and the Community Development Department

As residents of Chelan County for thirty four years we have lived through many changes to our neighborhood. The most distressing and destructive of the changes are the result of the mostly unchecked tourist impact on our local community. Any changes to the STR code would only further degrade the quality of life and safety of full residents.

We need more housing to be available to residents not short term renters.

Do not change the 6% cap on STR numbers.

Keep Tier 1 as owner occupied rentals. We do not need outside investors owning more residences in the county. Children under two should continue to be part of the head count for occupancy. Toilets are still flushed for toddlers and more renters would be impacting our neighborhoods if the count is increased.

We live on a private road with four existing STRs and the added cars on our road already reduce our safety on our non county standard road. Exit from this road in case of a fire emergency and driving in winter conditions are concerns we already face with the tourists in our neighborhood. Please do not make our residential communities less livable.

Gretchen Daiber
1535 Dempsey Rd., Leavenworth

Cindy Wright

From: Tim Worcester <timwla@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 11:46 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Propose changes to the STR code regulations

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I have recently been informed that there is another attempt to weaken the existing code regulations on the STR issue in Chelan County. I think the existing regulations are fair to both sides of the issue, and should not be changed. In particular:

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.!!!

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.!!!!

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Please don't ruin this beautiful place for the sake of profiteering.

Tim and Jan Worcester

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows

Cindy Wright

From: Brian Davis <sloopnarhval@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 12:19 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Please do not allow STRs to continue to degrade the peace and security of our neighborhoods. STRs are out of hand. I express my opposition to altering the 6% cap, the Tier 1 owner-occupancy rule, and excluding children under two years old from occupancy limits.

Quality of life before raw cash. Please listen.

Thank you

Brian Davis
2642 Cottonwood Ln
Leavenworth, Wa
98826

Cindy Wright

From: Sandy <sjd2944@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 12:57 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Commissioners:

Once again we are sitting across from one another, sizing each other up: money versus families.

It never fails to astonish me (silly me) how little people in power really take into account the importance of neighborhood and family. Truly amazing!

This latest attempt by Chelan County to loosen STR restrictions adopted in July of 2021, to the detriment of neighborhoods and families, is sad, unwise and shameful.

Point #1:

Removing the current 6% cap on STR is only your first step in allowing a free-for-all in all family neighborhoods in Chelan County. The traffic, noise and additional renters have no place in a neighborhood of families. Try and imagine only one permanent homeowner surrounded by an entirety of loud, obnoxious renters. Property values go down; stress goes up.

Point #2:

Are you all out of your freakin' minds?? Allowing the removal of Tier 1 requirements for owner occupied management is simply a sneaky and underhanded way of allowing property investors to buy up more housing and deny families from buying a home of their dreams. Disgusting.

Point #3:

This one is a beauty! Eliminating children under two from an occupancy head count. Have any of you EVER been to a "Chuck e Cheese" or other kids' birthday venue and had a hearing loss from the volume of screaming? Eliminating the "children under two" for a total renter count is ludicrous. I know this is shocking but children under two ARE considered people just about everywhere. So to dismiss this rule of inclusion is both laughable and intolerable, as well. Neighbors and resident homeowners have a right to expect some level of regulation. You would have me believe that 15 two year olds are no more noisy than one. Take a field trip to a day care and see for yourselves.

Lastly, I am totally opposed to ANY changes to STR policies in place currently. So tired of big business and (lack of a backbone on your part) pushing families and Chelan neighborhoods out. Start to care. It would be a nice change that I would support.

Sandy Duvall
140 Roses Lake Lane
Manson

Cindy Wright

From: BRIAN Shugrue <shugruebf@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 1:19 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Regulation Changes - Public Comment Enclosed
Attachments: Chelan County August 28 PC Agenda Packet.pdf

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Regarding the upcoming August 28 public hearing about the proposed changes to the STR regulations, I find it shocking and incredible for the County to consider any changes at all. The initial problems that had arisen as a result of inadequate regulations (destruction of neighborhoods, rapid increases in the pricing of real estate and the subsequent reduction of affordable housing and the overall degradation of quality of life as a result of overcrowding due to unregulated STR's) are once again being given a chance to resurface. Incredible.

Why is this even being considered? What is prompting these proposed changes?

The regulations have been working extremely well. Illegal STR operators have been uncovered and forced to cease and desist. As a result, the quality of life in neighborhoods has been improving due to the reduction of STR abuses (illegal parking, trespassing, overcrowding, excess trash, noise & light pollution, etc.).

Most important, real estate pricing has stopped it's constant rise thanks to these STR regulations. In the past, out-of-town speculators would snap up homes and turn them into STR's unabated by any County pressures. *That has stopped.* The 6% Cap has stopped the constant rise in real estate values and will allow them to drop down to reasonable levels that will eventually create affordable housing in our area once again. It takes time, but it IS happening.

So, why on Earth is the County even considering making any change here? This makes zero sense.

I am firmly against the removal of the 6% Cap. The Cap is what stopped the massive STR problem in its tracks. Opening the door for this to occur (and that is what these proposed changes will do) can and will lead to more STRs in residential neighborhoods once again. No way!!!

I am firmly against the proposed Tier 1 Rental Requirement Change. It would allow renters to manage the properties, not owners living on the property. This is also a giant leap backwards. The prior unregulated occupation of STR's by renters clearly proved that without onsite management, constant renter abuse occurs. This is a matter of record, and the County is clearly aware of these past abuses. Why then would anyone consider reopening this can of worms?

As far as changing the regulations for children, they make just as much noise (oftentimes more) than adults, and they are more prone than adults to wander and trespass on neighboring properties. I used to have an STR adjacent to my home, and this happened all the time. The question once again is why? Why is this change being considered? Keep the number of people in the STR properties limited as they currently are.

I am against ANY changes that can weaken the current STR regulations. Leave them alone. They are working well and are beginning to make life better for the residents of Chelan County.

Thank you.

Brian Shugrue, Innkeeper

Cindy Wright

From: Matthew Carlisle <carlislematthew@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 2:24 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR rules

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Commissioners,

Please do NOT loosen restrictions on STRs. In particular, the density caps. They were a key compromise that the residents got in the code. Without that, all that remains is occupancy limits (which you are also unfortunately looking to water down) and some minor inspection and signage clauses.

The caps are working. I no longer see or hear Long term renters complaining about being kicked out of a house so the owners can rent it out. Sure, housing is still not affordable but that's clearly due to interest rates, and lack of construction. Removing caps is just going to make it worse.

Please remember that in the original discussions for the code, the residents were clearly in favor of strict limits, often pure prohibition, as I was. Most STR owners are from out of town, and they flooded the county with copy and paste emails claiming their altruistic intentions for local businesses, while actually just looking to continue to monetize our neighborhoods. The money mostly flows out of the county...

Leave the code as it is. It's working.

Matthew Carlisle
210 Pinegrass St, Leavenworth WA 98826

PS I sold my place in unincorporated Chelan County due to the influx of STRs around me. Noise, garbage, and no community. I live in Leavenworth city now with a prohibition on STRs. It's much nicer this way.

Cindy Wright

From: Joan Frazee <joanfrazee@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 3:13 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: haberberger James
Subject: proposed changes to STR in unincorporated areas

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Leavenworth and I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy units. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

In summary, I am discouraged and disheartened that the County is proposing such drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. Why is Chelan County choosing to go backwards? We do not want any more commercial lodging in our neighborhoods. Tourist lodging should be located in commercial zoning!

Thank you for reading and digesting my comments.

A concerned citizen of Chelan County,
Joan Frazee
242 Park Ave.
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Michael Kirk <mike_c_kirk@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 3:20 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Short Term Rental Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Members of the Chelan County Planning Commission:

I am very much against the purported Short Term Rental Code Changes. Why would anyone want to remove the cap on short term rentals. This was a reasonable compromise to limit the number of properties being allowed to rent short term in residential neighborhoods. This would truly bring back the "wild west" of short term rentals, and be a step backwards from what we have now. If some communities want more short term rentals, they can simply raise their cap.

I am also against the change to "tier one" properties not having an owner-operator living on the property. The owner would definitely not allow activities on their property long before a neighbor might complain about it.

I am also against excluding children under 2 years of age from the head count for all tiers of the short term rentals. Children under 2 years of age can still scream and cry, and some of them can also run around.

Thank you.

Mike Kirk
1546 Santana Drive
P.O. Box 92
Manson, Wa 98831

509-433-8800
mike_c_kirk@icloud.com

Cindy Wright

From: Marty Fallon <martyfallon@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 3:53 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Chelan County Commissioners:
Chelan County Planning Commissioners:

Two years of work, a multitude of hours of volunteer and staff input, and finally a code was adopted that seems to be working quite well. The goal was to preserve the residential communities, allowing for neighbors to know one another, not have a house-next-door with constant turnover of short-term occupants, and to limit the number of STR in these communities so as to allow for housing for people who actually live and work here.

- I am totally opposed to making changes to this code.
- I am opposed to changing the 6% cap on the numbers of STR's in an area.
- I am opposed to changing the Tier 1 Classification. Owner-occupied homes decrease the number of problems that arise and allow for neighbors to co-exist with a STR.
- I am opposed to excluding children under two for occupancy limits.

Please consider carefully the impact of STR in our residential communities.

Marty Fallon
12275 Village View Dr.
Leavenworth, WA 98826
509-881-8473

Cindy Wright

From: Lisa Diederich <lmdiederich@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 3:57 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Keep str cap at 6%

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

Please keep the STR cap where it is and leave it at 6%.

Thanks,
Lisa Diederich
Sent from my iPad

Cindy Wright

From: david satterfield <davesfield@comcast.net>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 4:23 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR code changes proposal

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

We are residents on Lake Wenatchee and are very concerned by the proposed changes to the STR code. This code was established after local residents had enough impact that the county finally reacted to the STR problem and we don't want the problem to return.

We are opposed to the following proposals:

1. **Removal of 6% Cap:** The proposed changes may eliminate the 6% cap on the number of STRs in Chelan County. This could lead to more STRs in residential neighborhoods, potentially reducing available housing for residents.
2. **Tier 1 Rental Requirement Change:** The draft code suggests allowing renters to manage Tier 1 rentals (previously required to be owner-occupied). This change might result in more Tier 1 rentals without owners living on the property, impacting neighborhood character and cohesion.
3. **Exclusion of Children Under Two:** The proposal excludes children under two years of age from occupancy limits. This could lead to higher occupancy in all STRs.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Dave & Molly Satterfield
15956 Cedar Brae, Leavenworth, WA

Cindy Wright

From: Cindy Shurtleff <cindy@shurtleff.org>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 5:47 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR revisions: opposition to changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Please, please **do not change** the rules that would alter the 6% rental cap, and the Tier 1 owner -occupancy rules. A child under 2 is a person, they must be counted in the occupancy cap.

I live at Lake Wenatchee, we have so many rental properties around the lake. These proposed changes impact us greatly.

Please respect the rules as written. These were years in the making, with lots of feedback. Respect what the voters want.

Thank you,
Cindy Shurtleff.

16075 N Shore Dr
Leavenworth, WA 98826

206.390.4431 C
cindy@shurtleff.org

Cindy Wright

From: Don Bottoms <donb@plainhookey.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 7:56 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

We are residents of Plain.

We have an STR property adjacent to ours.

We are FULL-TIME residents there.

The Owners and managers of that adjacent STR property are doing a marginal job at best to maintain it and to ensure the prescribed guest limits are observed.

These proposed changes will act only to exacerbate situations such as this.

We strongly oppose adoption of the below changes to the code:

- *Altering the 6% cap on the number of STR's in our area
- * Changing the Tier 1 Occupancy Rule
- * Excluding children under 2 from occupancy limits.

Thank you for your consideration

Don & Pat Bottoms

12398 Hill Street

Plain, WA

Best Regards,

Don Bottoms
Plain WA, USA

Cindy Wright

From: pat thirlby <patthirlby@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2024 8:54 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: No Short Term Rental Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Community Development,

The Chelan County community went through two years of a pain staking, arduous process to help create a code to regulate STR's. This effort was open to the public, a Task Force was employed and a code was finally created. The Community Development staff has worked hard at applying the code correctly and an enforcement agency has been hired to help.

The difference in our Leavenworth neighborhood that the current regulations have made are life changing. STR homes that are registered, following the rules and respecting neighbors are more able to coexist in our rural residential setting. The bad actors aren't as bad. Recent real estate sales on our road have not turned into STR's because of the cap. We have new families for neighbors. They care about our future, the landscape, fire safety and we take care of each other.

Residents United for Neighbors was started at my dining room table over eight years ago. So many people in the community have poured hours into encouraging the County to do the right thing for residents and for rental owners. It would be a tragedy and a grave injustice to change the code. Our community's future will be in peril again if investors are allowed to ruin our precious housing stock and residential quality of life.

PLEASE DO NOT RUIN THE SHORT TERM RENTAL CODE by putting private business and development interests ahead of our neighborhoods.

Sincerely,
Pat Thirlby

Cindy Wright

From: Carol & Fred Guimond <carolfredg@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 8:57 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I strongly disagree with the proposed change. Wasn't that made clear in the last go around?

Please don't agree to these changes!

Thanks you!

Carol Kasper

Cindy Wright

From: Juris Vagners <jurisvagners@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 9:25 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: County wide STR

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To the Board:

Being full time residents at Lake Wenatchee, surrounded by STR properties, we wish to register our concerns about the regulations changes currently under consideration. Even with the current regulations, the behavior of those who use STR properties continues to create issues, particularly violations of occupancy, late night parties as well as trespassing to access the Lake. So we hope that the proposed changes will NOT be implemented as they would only make issues worse.

Thank you!

Juris Vagners & Linda Christianson
16376 North Shore Drive
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Daniel O'Connor | See Bicycles <dan@seebicycles.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 11:15 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR code changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

There are already far too many STRs in Chelan County which has directly caused a severe housing shortage for residents and the only housing available is totally unaffordable for most of the people who actually live here. That is unacceptable.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Daniel O'Connor
12597 Wilson St
Leavenworth, WA

Cindy Wright

From: jems4mnts@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 11:47 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

We strongly encourage the Chelan board to NOT alter the STR rules. We live full time on N Shore Dr, Lake Wenatchee. We are retired. We are daily dog walkers so are very aware of the impacts to our neighborhood of STRs. There are currently five STR-2's and two STR-1's within a short walk (1/4 mile) of our home. There are two more STR-1's (ADU's) being built right across the street bring our total to nine (9) STR's!! We are far over 6% in this neighborhood and we hope we can get to at-or-below 6% someday.

Increasing the cap above 6% is depressing to us. Very insensitive to residents who want to live in a residential, not commercial, neighborhood. STR Issues we regularly deal with are the same issues you have heard about before (significant increase in people noise, garbage dumping (wind or bears), trespassing, dog poop on walking sides of N Shore Dr) or in our yard!, traffic, etc). We have observed the issues are less with owner-occupied STR's as their guests act more well behaved, however, it still changes the character of the neighborhood.

Bottom line is it is a hassle living among STRs regardless of STR tier, and it lessens the quality of the neighborhood. Please, whatever you do, do NOT increase the ability to have more non-owner occupied STR's! Also do NOT raise the 6% cap or allow more people because of age. People are people. (If your doing under 2 yrs old who/how are ages checked? Why 2 and not 3 or 1? Etc.) These proposed changes are a direct negative impact to the residents of my residential neighborhood.

While you ponder softening the STR restrictions please really listen to us who live here. Think hard about who benefits and who doesn't, and not just financially but quality of life. Think long -term. STR & ADU rules do change neighborhoods and does not do so evenly within your zones. Some streets are significantly more impacted. What you may think is a minor tweak can and does have heavy impacts on specific neighborhoods and specific neighbors.

We think full time Chelan neighborhood residents should be your priority rather than those wanting more commercialization of residential neighborhoods. We think STR's change the character of neighborhoods and the more STR's, regardless of Tier, the more the neighborhood becomes commercialized.

We ask you to NOT make changes to existing STR rules.

Sincerely,
J&E Morse
17xxx N. Shore Dr.
Lake Wenatchee

Cindy Wright

From: william.lockinger@frontier.com
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 11:52 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed revisions to Chelan County STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

We currently have one STR adjacent to our property and another across the street. There are another 10 plus STR's within a 1/2 mile radius of our home. Essentially we have new neighbors every week as the homes are rented continually throughout the year.

This does away with any sense of community, and you are looking to increase the cap and further saturate the area in rentals. The proposed changes are another way for Chelan County to generate additional revenue and inconvenience the residents that call this home.

1. I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STR's in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
2. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STR's.
3. I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
4. I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

We have first hand experience in Chelan County of unaffordable housing. Our daughter was offered employment in Wenatchee, but due to the cost and lack of affordable housing she was unable to take the position.

Regards,
Bill Lockinger

Cindy Wright

From: Jabe Blumenthal <jabe@haycanyon.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 12:44 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: proposed STR code changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am writing to oppose the weakening and watering down of the existing STRs in Chelan County including:

- * I am opposed to any change/weakening in the sensible 6% cap on the number of STRs.
- * I am especially opposed to any change/weakening in the current sensible requirement that Tier 1 rentals be owner-occupied properties.
- * I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits, which exclusion makes no rational sense.

The vast majority of absentee owners of STRs don't even live in Chelan County. And we have a serious housing shortage. Your priority should be addressing that shortage for the working families of Chelan County, not for out-of-county rental owners driving up housing prices.

Thank you for considering.
Jabe Blumenthal
Cashmere, WA

Cindy Wright

From: Gro Buer <grobuer@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 2:41 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Nightly Rentals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Commissioners,

I am so angry and disappointed that you 3 would consider changing the STR guidelines/rules after the years of work by so many people.

It is sneaky and a slap in the face to many who believe in neighborhoods/community and have supported the regulations that are now in force.

I live in Leavenworth and STRs really affect us in multiple negative ways and most people who own STRs don't live here. We want our community to be cohesive and united, but multiple STRs in neighborhoods make it less likely to know your next door neighbors. .

Tier 1 proposal change is particularly enraging since we need affordable housing and your proposals make it more difficult for someone who lives on site to rent out space for income.

Proposing to change the regulations of STRs after the negotiations that took so long and in good faith(and included compromises) undermines the process of democracy .

Shame on you!!!!

I will do everything in my power to stop this process and will lose my trust in you as elected officials if this change happens.

In summary: The residents of Leavenworth and Chelan County worked together to create the STR guidelines along with Commissioners and it feels like a betrayal for you to consider changing them.

I'm suspicious of why this is happening now before Brad comes on the commission and I think you need to come clean!

Sincerely, Gro Buer 8050 E Leavenworth Rd

Cindy Wright

From: fergjs@comcast.net
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 2:47 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposition to changes in Chelan County Short Term Rental Codes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Planning Commission,

We have been informed of proposed changes to the Short Term Rental Codes, and we are writing you to let you know that we are opposed to the proposed changes. Specifically:

1. We are opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We already have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
2. We are opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
3. We are opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
4. We are opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Sincerely,

/s/

John & Sue Ferguson
12745 Shore St.
Leavenworth, WA 98826

John: 206-498-5902 / fergjs@comcast.net

Cindy Wright

From: marycox@nwi.net
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 6:51 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code change comments

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To: Members of the Chelan County Planning Commission

From: Mary Cox, full-time resident of Chelan County

Subject: I am strongly opposed to any changes to the STR Code!

I read the agenda for the meeting on August 26 and the related staff materials regarding the proposed changes in the STR code. I am shocked, disheartened, and angry that Chelan County is proposing such drastic changes to an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. The negative impacts of STRs on communities have not changed. Communities with high levels of tourism all over the world are enacting strong regulations to limit STR's. Why is Chelan County choosing to go backwards? I/we do not want any more commercial lodging in our neighborhoods! Tourist lodging should be located in commercial zoning.

Some relatives came to visit me in the Leavenworth this summer and they said they found an abundance of hotel accommodations just 2 weeks in advance of their trip. This tells me that we don't need more STR's for tourists – seems to be plenty of hotel space!

- 1) I am strongly opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STR's in Chelan County. I believe we have a housing crisis and that our available housing must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
- 2) I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STR's.
- 3) I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied.
- 4) I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head county for STR occupancy limits.

Please recommend to the County Commissioners that no changes should be made to the STR code.
Thank you,
Mary Cox
Lake Wenatchee

Cindy Wright

From: Maureen <maureen@nwi.net>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 7:20 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed changes for STR'S

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I live in the Ponderosa in Plain and am strongly opposed to changes that would remove the 6% cap or remove the requirement that Tier 1 rentals be owner occupied.

I am shocked and honestly sick to my stomach that you would consider dismantling a code that took years to put together. A compromise that supported tourism, acknowledged the housing shortage in our area, and protected the desire of residents to maintain a sense of community in their neighborhoods.

Who do you represent?

Maureen O'Dea
24201 Morgan St.
Leavenworth, WA. 98826

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Cindy Wright

From: John Neff <johnneffnow@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 9:10 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code Proposals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Board of Commissioners,

I and my family are stunned by the County proposing drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place.

Who is benefitting? Certainly not the residents.

The negative impacts of STRs on communities have not changed - reduction in the number of houses available for residents to buy or rent, more strangers in residential neighborhoods, and problems of parties and excess noise. Communities with high levels of tourism all over the world are enacting strong regulations to limit short term rentals

1. I am **STRONGLY** opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

2 . I am **STRONGLY** opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

3 I am **STRONGLY** opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

4. I am **STRONGLY** opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

John Neff

Resident of Leavenworth, WA
for 13 years

Cindy Wright

From: CLeuck@nwi.net
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 10:11 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Constituent feedback regarding proposed changes to the STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am a Chelan County full time resident. I live in the Lake Wenatchee area. Prior to the County adopting the current STR codes our neighborhood was suffering from too many nightly rentals, most of which were mismanaged by absentee property owners. Our daily plight included but was not limited to trespassing, dangerous bonfires, occasional drunken fights, and almost daily mis-parked cars that blocked egress in and out of our shared driveway and access road with community easement. The current code is not perfect but has greatly improved my neighbors and my quality of living by reducing the number of STRs in our neighborhood.

The proposed changes to the code all support an increased foot print size and increased profitability of the STR industry in our country. If granted the changes would support profit and business over community and quality of life in residential neighborhoods that were not and never have been zoned for running businesses. Short term rentals are businesses. For this reason:

- I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
- I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
- I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
- I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Cindy Wright

From: Mike Worden <wordenmike@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 25, 2024 10:56 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: mike worden; Lori Worden
Subject: New STR Proposals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commission,

As a resident who is surrounded by STRs and still suffers from noise and trespassing from these renters, I appreciate the positive changes you have introduced in recent years to regulate this activity. Enforcement still remains a challenge.

We are opposed to any STR code changes that would increase the number of STRs. We have a housing shortage/crisis as it is and housing should be preserved for residents who need homes. So please do not increase beyond the 6% cap. We are opposed to changing the rule that Tier 1 rentals be owner occupied. This keeps owners nearby/easily accessible and helps them supplement income to help them afford their homes, etc. We also oppose excluding children under two from headcount of occupancy limits. We have seen a tendency to already over stuff the STRs with older kids and adults, why add to this?

Thanks for your kind consideration,

Mike and Lori Worden

9675 Dye Road
Leavenworth WA
98826

Sent from my iPad

Cindy Wright

From: Shaun Seaman <shaunm48@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 6:55 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed changes to the Chelan County STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am writing to inform the Chelan County Commission of my complete opposition to the proposed changes to the County's STR code related to the removal of the 6% cap on STRs, the change in Tier 1 STRs requirement for a owner on-site and the exclusion of children under 2 years of age from the head count.

The current code as you must know was a compromise that no one was happy with but all accepted as the best that could be achieved. These actions being proposed now are simply a slap in the face of those that worked to keep Chelan County a livable place, not a large, sprawling resort where those that work and VOTE here have our lives impacted regularly.

This action clearly violates the letter and spirit of the County's Comprehensive Plan that recognises the value of neighbors of all sizes and characteristics and the need to maintain valuable housing stock. This latter point is crucial as much of the county, state and nation face a housing shortage. It is amazing to those of us that care deeply about the character and future of Chelan County that the Commission is even considering these actions.

It is clear that the timing of these proposals is intentional and an act that will benefit a few non-Chelan County residents to the detriment of many full-time residents.

Please reject these proposals.

Shaun Seaman
Leavenworth

Cindy Wright

From: Linda Wood <lwood11@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 7:58 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Chelan County STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

I am a Chelan County full time resident. I live in the Lake Wenatchee area. Prior to the County adopting the current STR codes our neighborhood was suffering from too many nightly rentals, which were mismanaged by absentee property owners. The proposed changes to the code all support an increased foot print size and increased profitability of the STR industry in our country. If granted the changes would support profit and business over community and quality of life in residential neighborhoods that were not and never have been zoned for running businesses. Short term rentals are businesses. For this reason:

- I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
- I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
- I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
- I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Cindy Wright

From: bmpayne@nwi.net
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 8:21 AM
To: CD Comment
Cc: info@coalitionofchelanchelan.org
Subject: Short Term Rentals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am **STRONGLY** opposed to changing and watering down the requirements for Short Term Rentals.

My wife and I moved to the Plain area of Chelan County twenty years ago to retire in a place with beautiful scenery, much less traffic, and peaceful, quiet surroundings.

Over the last 10 years this has been shattered by ever increasing numbers of people coming from the West side to vacation and party. Many of them take unsafe risks and increase the burden on our volunteer fire districts. Some of them disregard rules and jeopardize the safety of others by activities like:

- Having bonfires during periods when burning and campfires are prohibited,
- Rafting the river without lifejackets,
- Hiking without taking essential items,
- Allowing their dogs to run loose,
- Renting snowmobiles or 4 wheelers with minimal training on how to use them safely, and
- Trespassing on private property.

These risks often turn into calls for help from Fire Districts and or the Sheriff. In the Plain area responses from the Sheriff Deputies are frequently over an hour to reach the scene. These risks appear somewhat mitigated, but are not eliminated when the STRs owners are present on the property.

The STR renters often arrive at rural fire stations with medical issues expecting the stations to be continuously manned.

I strongly encourage you not to increase the number of STRs and to keep the existing requirements in place.

Mike Payne

Cindy Wright

From: bmpayne@aol.com
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 8:26 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Short Term Rentals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am STRONGLY opposed to changing and watering down the requirements for Short Term Rentals.

My husband and I moved to the Plain area of Chelan County twenty years ago to retire in a place with beautiful scenery, much less traffic, and peaceful, quiet surroundings.

Over the last 10 years this has been shattered by ever increasing numbers of people coming from to vacation and party. Many of them take unsafe risks and increase the burden on our volunteer fire districts. Some of them disregard rules and jeopardize the safety of others by activities like:

- Having bonfires during periods when burning and campfires are prohibited,
- Rafting the river without lifejackets,
- Hiking without taking essential items,
- Allowing their dogs to run loose,
- Renting snowmobiles or 4 wheelers with minimal training on how to use them safely, and
- Trespassing on private property.

These risks often turn into calls for help from Fire Districts and or the Sheriff. In the Plain area responses from the Sheriff Deputies are frequently over an hour to reach the scene. These risks appear somewhat mitigated, but are not eliminated when the STRs owners are present on the property.

The STR renters often arrive at rural fire stations with medical issues expecting the stations to be continuously manned.

I strongly encourage you not to increase the number of STRs and to keep the existing requirements in place.

Becky Payne

Cindy Wright

From: Rob Shurtleff <rob@shurtleff.org>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 8:52 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Regulations

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Please do not remove the cap on short term rentals or change the owner in residence requirement for Tier 1 rentals.

We have too many STRs, the regulations have made things somewhat better for neighbors of STRs but reducing the number over time is a good goal

Thanks
Rob Shurtleff
Lake Wenatchee

Cindy Wright

From: Don <phatberryfarm@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 9:47 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposition to STR Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am in opposition to any changes to the current STR Codes.

Don Cullison 134 Borealis Lane Manson, WA 98831 509-415-9462

Cindy Wright

From: Jackie Cagle <ichasebikes@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 10:13 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Codes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

STRS

My husband and I live next to a STR on a small lake. Living next to one has taken the joy out of living in our own home inside and out. Summers we leave as much as possible so that we don't have to endure all the negative aspects of living next to one. The experiences we've endured are absolutely deplorable.

- loud music
- outdoor party venue, drinking screaming, yelling, foul language at any time of the day
- drone flying over our deck space filming into our living room
- inappropriate behavior
- Building Open fires during a no burn ban
 - Being called every name you can possibly imagine because we are asking them to please take their party inside
 - Breaking boating regulations
 - Stuffing their trash in our garbage can and we are charged the extra fee
 - Renters come with an agenda, that they're going to have a good time and no one is going to stop them
 - Renters bring their attitude and lack of respect
 - Neighbors of rentals suffer from greedy property owners

I oppose the removal of the STR cap, or excluding children under 2 in the headcount or allowing the renter to manage the rental property

My question to you is do you live next to a short term rental and with all the information gathered about the negativity of STR's would you want to live next to one? Would you purposely buy a home next to a short term rental? Would you want your children or grandchildren or friends to live next to one. They are a community problem, a county problem, a statewide problem, a nationwide problem, and a worldwide problem. Please give back our community, we all deserve to enjoy our homes.

Thank you,
Jackie Cagle
Manson Washington

Sent from my iPhone

Cindy Wright

From: fred guimond <fredguimond@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 10:32 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Upcoming CDD proposal for revisions to the STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am **AGAINST** removing the 6% cap on the number of of STR's in Chelan County. Leave it where it is.

We are **AGAINST** letting Tier 1 rental properties be managed by the renters. Keep the requirement that these properties have a owner living in them. They **MUST** be owner -occupied.

Do **NOT** make a change excluding Children under two years old being included in the occupancy limits. Keep them in the occupancy limits.

Thank you, Fred Guimond & Carol Kasper

Cindy Wright

From: Ryan Sommers <ryansommers07@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 10:59 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed Changes in Short-Term Rental Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern,

This email is in regard to the hearing for proposed STR changes on August 28. My wife and I are unable to attend, but as residents living next door to an STR with the additional burden of a shared space, we feel compelled to express our strong opposition to the proposed changes to the short-term rental regulations in Chelan County.


- We strongly oppose any alterations that would lead to an increase in the number of STRs within the County. Chelan County is already grappling with a severe housing shortage, and it's crucial to safeguard our housing for permanent residents rather than expanding short-term rental opportunities.
- We are against modifying the current requirement that Tier 1 rentals be owner-occupied. The purpose of this rule was to support homeowners who need additional income by allowing them to rent out part of their primary residence. It should not be a loophole for investors to acquire more properties in the area.
- We also oppose the proposal to exclude children under two from the occupancy limit count. How would this be enforced? Renters already ignore rules and this adjustment will lead to higher occupancy in general, diminishing the quality of life for full-time residents.

It already feels like we're losing the makeup of our town, and everything seems geared towards making sure STR's can make more money at the detriment of residents. We've had less than ideal interactions with code enforcement and don't have confidence that expanding STR's and occupancy will be handled in a satisfactory manner.

We urge you to prioritize the needs of our local community and protect housing availability for those who live here year-round over the interests of expanding short-term rentals.

Thank you for considering our perspective on this important matter.

Sincerely,

 Ryan and Tristan Sommers
74 Fishermen Pl
Manson WA 98831

Cindy Wright

From: Gina Quinn <gina.a.quinn@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:13 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposed to Changes in STR Code - Input for Public Hearing on WED 08/28

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Commisioner Overbay, Commissioner Smith, and Commissioner Gering,

Good Morning,

As you are well aware, we have a housing-crisis in Chelan County AND across the State. I am disheartened that the County is proposing drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. The negative impacts of STRs on communities have not changed. This includes the reduction in the number of houses available for residents to buy or rent, more strangers and outsiders in residential neighborhoods, and problems with disorderly parties, congested driveways/traffic/street parking and excess noise.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in Chelan County. It is imperative that our housing pool be held for the numerous residents who are unhoused, living with family or friends out of necessity, couch-surfing and/or traveling 30-40 miles to their place of employment.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs. Tourist lodging should be located in commercial zoning....not in quiet residential neighborhoods with residential zoning.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment for additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County for STR's.

Why is Chelan County choosing to go backwards? Who is being lobbied by Chelan County....big investors and developers? Please put a STOP to their demands that negatively impact our neighborhoods!

Thank you,

Gina A. Quinn
5920 Kimber Rd
Cashmere, WA
509-393-5060

Cindy Wright

From: Gina Quinn <gina.a.quinn@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:18 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposed to changes in STR ordinance - PH on Wednesday 08/28 (Resending)

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Commissioner Overbay, Commissioner Smith, and Commissioner Gering,

As you are well aware, we have a housing-crisis in Chelan County AND across the State. I am disheartened that the County is proposing drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. The negative impacts of STRs on communities have not changed. This includes the reduction in the number of houses available for residents to buy or rent, more strangers and outsiders in residential neighborhoods, and problems with disorderly parties, congested driveways/traffic/street parking and excess noise.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in Chelan County. It is imperative that our housing pool be held for the numerous residents who are unhoused, living with family or friends out of necessity, couch-surfing and/or traveling 30-40 miles to their place of employment.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs. Tourist lodging should be located in commercial zoning....not in quiet residential neighborhoods with residential zoning.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment for additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County for STR's.

Why is Chelan County choosing to go backwards? Who is being lobbied by Chelan County....big investors and developers? Please put a STOP to their demands that negatively impact our neighborhoods!

Thank you,

Gina A. Quinn
5920 Kimber Rd
Cashmere, WA
509-393-5060

Cindy Wright

From: clint@nwi.net
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:27 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To: Chelan County Commissioners

I am a long-term Chelan County resident living on East Leavenworth Rd. outside Leavenworth. I am experiencing first hand the negative effects of short term rentals(STR) in Chelan County. The house across the road from our property was converted to an STR. What was once a lovely home with a pleasant neighbor is now a busy and noisy rental property. Every couple of days a new group of renters arrive with their noisy cars and trucks, music, unsupervised children and yappy dogs. I have seen as many as six vehicles in the driveway and it is obvious the maximum allowed occupancy is being exceeded. We now have a constant parade of strangers in our midst, rather than a long-term neighbor. STR's are changing our once quiet and stable neighborhoods into noisy and unpleasant party environments.

I oppose the proposed changes to the STR regulations for a number of reasons.

*Increasing STR's by removing the 6% cap will increase the negative impact of STR's noted above and will further reduce a very limited housing stock in our area.

*Eliminating the requirement for Tier 1 rentals to be owner-occupied will likely increase out of county STR ownership and with no owner on-site STR occupants will have little incentive to restrict their unruly behavior.

*Excluding children under two years of age from the occupancy count will likely increase adult occupancy and create more noise and disturbance.

Lodging for tourists should be restricted to commercial zones and should not be allowed in residential neighborhoods.

Many high tourist communities are striving to control STR's. Why is Chelan County proposing to increase STR's and reduce our quality of life?

I adamantly oppose any changes to county regulations that would increase STR's.

Clint Lougheed, Leavenworth

Cindy Wright

From: Dan and Christine Cassayre <cassayre@nwi.net>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 11:58 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Changes in the STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Chelan Co Planning Commission,

Here we are again. Many of the residents in Chelan Co who own and live full time in their homes have been letting you know for years how we feel about too many STR's. There has been many hours spent working with you to work out these rules. In 2021 the county's short term rental code was finally adopted. It wasn't everything I had hope for, but it was progress. I live next to 2 STR homes. One is managed quite well and the other is always a problem. Where is the protection for those of us who live here full time? We fought so hard to get this code and now you want to make changes. It is aggravating and wrong.

Please leave the cap for STRs at 6% of the housing stock in all areas, and keep the caps and restrictions intact for Manson and Peshastin.

Please do not exclude children under the age of 2 years old in the head count for limits on occupancy for each of the Tiers of STR's. This will increase the amount of noise and traffic in and around the STR.

Please leave the code as is. We do not need more STR's in our neighborhoods.

Thank you,
Christine and Dan Cassayre
8700 Icicle Rd, Leavenworth, WA

Cindy Wright

From: Geoff Burg <geoff@glblaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 12:01 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Rule Changes - Opposition

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am writing to express my opposition to the proposed rules altering the Short Term Rental code, the 6% cap, the Tier 1 owner-occupancy rule, and excluding children under two years old from occupancy limits. These rules will hurt neighborhoods and increase the problems surrounding the housing demand in Chelan County. I respectfully request that the rules not be revised.

Geoffrey Burg
16401 Noth Shore Drive, Leavenworth WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Julie Burg <burgjulieh@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 12:15 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Rule Changes - Opposition

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Board of Commissioners:

I am writing in opposition to the proposed STR code revisions being proposed by the Community Development Department. I urge you to reject the removal of the 6% cap, Tier 1 rental requirement change, and exclusion of children under two. These proposed changes will have a direct, negative impact on me personally and our County as a whole. The proposed changes will reduce available housing for county residents, negatively impact neighborhood character and community, and lead to higher occupancy in all STRs in the county.

I urge you to stick to the existing requirements and reject the proposed changes.

Thank you.

Julie Burg
16401 N Shore Dr.
Leavenworth WA

Cindy Wright

From: Ron Larson <ronlarson@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 12:47 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed Changes to Chelan County STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To: Chelan County Community Development Department

Subject: Proposed Changes to the Chelan County STR code

It has come to my attention that the department is proposing a number of changes to the counties' Short Term Rental Code. These changes significantly weaken the existing code, adopted in July of 2021, after 2 years of workshops and hearings.

There is nothing that has occurred in the last three years to warrant any changes. One must only assume the Commissioners are doing the bidding of wealthy development interests.

I strongly recommend the County stand by the existing code and protect the interests of the majority of permanent residents in the county.

Respectfully submitted,

Ron Larson
10412 Fox Road
Leavenworth WA

Cindy Wright

From: Mahala Murphy-Martin <mahalamartin@outlook.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 12:52 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR proposed rule changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commission,

I cannot believe that we are back in the process of trying to stave off another attempt at implementing changes to the STR rules.

Nothing has changed from the previous situation!

We are still sorely lacking housing for our working/living community in Chelan County. We must be able to preserve the limited housing stock that is available.

Living in unincorporated Chelan County in Leavenworth, has acutely demonstrated the problems with STR's.

The STR's are problematic for neighborhoods for many reasons.

STR habitants do not respect the need to slow their speed for small children, residents, and the wild life.

They do not respect the peaceful tranquility that residents enjoy in their neighborhoods, with their parties/activities and loud vehicles.

STR's **do nothing** to give back to the community. They are simply "cash cows" for the owners, who do not provide any service or concern for participating/giving back to the Communities.

This is the benefit of permanent Residents. These are the people who join the non-profits/service organizations who are trying to do good things for our communities such as those in need/schools, etc...

Without the restrictions in place, wealthy owners from (the West side of the State) and other areas, would be able to build structures that potentially could severely tax the water system. This is totally irresponsible on the part of the Planning Commission, when we are always under the threat of wild fires, and desperately need the maximum, water resource availability.

I am completely opposed to changing the 6% cap on the number of STR's.

I'm opposed to changing the rule that requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner-occupied.

I'm opposed to children under two being eliminated from the head count of occupancy.

I can't help but feel the Short-term Rental Association has a very strong grip on the Planning Commission, which is being swayed by the "special interests" of STR's versus what is the best and correct thing to do for the citizenry of Chelan County!

Please, do your job that the resident-citizens of Chelan County implore- and expect you to do.

DO NOT allow any changes to occur with the STR rules!!

Respectfully,

Mahala Murphy-Martin

Cindy Wright

From: Patti Bosket <patti@pattibosket.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 1:09 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I'm a 40 year resident in Leavenworth, I oppose any changes to our currant STR code.
Why do our county commissioners agree to changing, and
want to get rid of any restrictions all together??
We have such a shortage of housing, soon local business will not be able to have employees.
Why are you not seeing the long term effects, this has had on our community? Not everything has to be about money.
We have no infrastructure as it is now. No more changes.

Patti and Vince Bosket

PATTI BOSKET
— au naturel —

Cindy Wright

From: Linda Christianson <lindachristianson77@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 3:42 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: Linda Christianson
Subject: STR input for unincorporated Chelan County

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello

I live at Lake Wenatchee and object to your proposal to modify short term rental rules.

Removal of the 6% cap, will almost certainly increase to more Rentals in our area-reducing options for resident housing.

Tier 1 Requirement change, allowing renters to manage Tier 1 rentals will result in Tier 1 rentals without Owners living on the property, negatively affecting neighborhoods cohesion.

Exclusion of children under two, will certainly lead to higher occupancy in all STRs.

We worked so hard to eliminate the lax rules for unincorporated Chelan county earlier—and it seems we need to mobilize an address it again.

Please do NOT alter the current STR codes.

Linda Christianson
lindachristianson77@icloud.com
206 355-0821

Cindy Wright

From: Amy Cox <ac7cedarbrae@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 3:58 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: Amy Cox
Subject: Oppose Revisions Of Short Term Rental Code for Chelan County

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a property owner on the South Shore of Lake Wenatchee and strenuously oppose the proposed revision of the Short Term Rental Code for Chelan County. Specifically, I oppose the altering of the 6% occupancy cap, oppose eliminating the owner occupancy rule, and oppose excluding children under 2 years old from the occupancy limits.

Please deny the proposed changes of the Short Term Rental Code for Chelan County.

Thank you.

Amy Cox
Sent from my iPhone

Cindy Wright

From: Glenn Hoenes <ghoenes@nwi.net>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2024 4:53 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposal to Change Short Term Rental Requirements

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello - I live at Lake Wenatchee on North Shore Drive. There are more than enough short term rentals under the present system. My daughter is being married in just two weeks. Guests have not had an issue getting accommodations. Just last week, my brother was able to rent a cabin (only 3 weeks before the wedding) less than 2 miles from our house (where the wedding will occur). That says to me there is plenty of availability.

There is no reason to increase the number of short term rentals. It will only make the market less affordable. It will also impact long term rentals negatively.

Thanks for letting me comment. You may contact me at this email address or call me at (509)539-5329.

Glenn Hoenes

Cindy Wright

From: Alexa Carver <alexazcarver@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 7:36 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Oppose change to STR Regulations

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hi,

As a property owner and taxpayer in the Lake Wenatchee area I want to register my opposition to the proposed changes to the Short Term Rental Regulations. Increasing the cap on these rentals above the current 6% adversely impacts the community-- having short term renters rather than neighbors is destroying our sense of neighborhood and changing our communities.

Thanks,

Alexa Carver, 16407 North Shore Drive, Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Arthur Schile <tois111@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 8:07 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Support of Proposal to Alter Short Term Rental Requirments

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am a property owner in the Lake Wenatchee area and believe that our county policies on STRs have become far too restrictive. These onerous policies suppress home values, decrease tourism, and lead to relatively poorly maintained properties. The restrictive policies are anti-business, anti-owners rights, and no longer represent the will of residents.

Sincerely,
Arthur Schile
Lake View Dr.

Sent from my iPhone

Cindy Wright

From: James Haberberger <haberberger53@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 8:39 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: proposed changes to STR in unincorporated areas

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern,

I am a resident of Leavenworth and I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. We have a housing crisis and our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy units. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

In summary, I am discouraged and disheartened that the County is proposing such drastic changes in an ordinance that the community fought so hard to put in place. Why is Chelan County choosing to go backwards? We do not want any more commercial lodging in our neighborhoods. Tourist lodging should be located in commercial zoning!

Thank you for reading and digesting my comments.

A concerned citizen of Chelan County,
James Haberberger
242 Park Ave
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Janet Alley <janet_alley@ymail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 10:17 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.

I am very much opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am very much opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

This makes no sense and I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Thank you,
Janet Alley

Cindy Wright

From: joe bill hill <joebillhill@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 10:21 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code Change

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello, and to whom it may concern,

I have lived in Chelan County for 7 years now and have seen dramatic changes in that short time. 3 of those years I lived next to an STR that I believe is a poster child for management geared solely towards income with no regard for the neighborhood. Furthermore, as local residents wanting to live long term here, my wife and I found it incredibly difficult to find a house to buy. I know there are many factors to this, but one that I attribute it to is housing being bought up and turned into an STR business, or a second home that is helped pay for by supplemental STR profits.

The time and effort put in by Residents Change Coalition of Chelan County and residents at large to put restrictions on STR's is fair and practical. Because of this I oppose the proposed changes to the STR code, including:

- The removal or increase in the 6% cap on the number of STRs
- The change in requirement that Tier 1 rentals could be managed by renters.

I have visited tourist towns such as South Lake Tahoe and seen positive codes put in place to limit the amount of disruption that STRs have the very real possibility to create, allowing neighborhoods to stay quiet, and allowing local residents to live in the setting they signed up for.

Thank you,

Joe Hill- Leavenworth resident

Cindy Wright

From: Brunners Lodge <info@brunnerslodge.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 10:58 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Comments

Expires: Thursday, July 31, 2025 12:00 AM

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Commissioner's and Planning Committee:

In regards to discussion about STR regulation changes.

I thought the Tier 1 had no cap because the owner needed to be there? This should continue to be the same.

The discussion by the county commissioners that was done in the spring about having a home and an ADU on the same property and one being used as an STR and requiring the other to be owner occupied is taking long term rental housing from the housing stock.

I am ONLY referring to Tier 2 & 3. Our state has passed law that allows owners NOT to have to live in either the main house OR the ADU, which could open up a few housing options should the owner decide to live somewhere else. This discussion got lost which Tier you were talking about. Tier 2 & 3 does not require owners to be present, but if there is an ADU on the property then you are requiring them to live in it full time. This is an override from the state could be taking housing available for Long Term Rentals. I believe the wording should be only 1 STR on one property. So, if the main house was an STR, then the ADU could be a Long Term Rental or Owner occupied.

I also agree with the change of Exclusion of Children Under Two in our permitted guest count. This is a constant struggle with what gain? Losing Families coming to the area?

Thanks,
Marianne Brunner
Property Owner
Brunners Lodge Inc
www.brunnerslodge.com

425-785-0889
A Perfect Leavenworth Getaway!

Cindy Wright

From: Kathy Schmidt <kathleenschmidt@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 12:13 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Against changes to our Chealn Co STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Planning Commission:

It's hard to believe you are considering updating our current county STR code to allow for increased STR's in our already saturated nightly rental market at the demise of retaining some sense of community in our rural cities. I am a 30 yr resident of Leavenworth as well as a local business owner and employer.

Our Leavenworth community is tourist driven and we have sufficient overnight accommodations in place at the permitted hotels and lodging accommodations in the city and surrounding unincorporated county area, including STR's and campgrounds. Our town's physical size is constrained by the river and mountains that draws us all here... residents and tourists alike. The natural environment is at it's max and we do not heed more STR's to cram more bodies into town or the surrounding recreational areas. The area cannot accommodate the volume of bodies already coming to the area now on peak weekends and holidays. The forest service is not able to keep up with the impact to our public lands and we need to all take pause before its too late.

The current cap of 6% STR's s already generous in my opinion. There is more demand for affordable monthly rentals than we have to offer as is. Allowing more of the local housing market to switch to nightly rentals for tourism is not what the community wants or needs. There is a delicate balance here. We have the tourism already (at times exceeding our desires and capacity) and if we want to keep it, and keep our area desirable to return to, we need to keep the local community viable with affordable homes our workers supporting our business community can live in... not more STR's!

In addition to my opposition to increasing STR's in our County, I am against changing the rules in place about requiring Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. This tier was approved to allow owners of homes to have the ability to generate more income from their home property if desired. Allowing this caveat to be dropped and changed to allowing a renter of a home to manage the STR unit on the property may create the original problem all over again. Non-owner occupied properties within our communities filled with nightly tenants with no accountability to late night noise, trash, illegal parking, excessive occupancy limits etc. This puts the burden of "policing" our own neighborhoods again back on the permanent residents. We don't have a local city police to call for help with violators. The STR code was established to help neighborhoods return to some sense of community rather than the frustrated and angry place many had become due to abuse by non owner occupied properties.

I appreciate your time and full consideration to the impact the proposed changes could have on our county and our rural cities we call home.

Sincerely,

Kathy Schmidt
PO Box 687
8201 Mountain Home Rd
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: storks@frontier.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 1:07 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Concerns surrounding Short Term Rental Regulation

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the proposed changes to the 6% cap, the Tier 1 owner-occupancy rule and excluding children under two years old from occupancy limits.

Our family has a home in the Chiwawa river pines development and has seen what short-term rentals has done to the community. We would like to keep all current limitations in place in order to keep a small community feel as well as affordability for families wanting to buy and live in the area.

Thank you,
Laura Stork

Cindy Wright

From: Natalie Johnson <johnsonnat13@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 2:46 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Code updates

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello, I would just like to express my support for revising the short-term rental codes. Especially the provision to not include children under the age of two in capacity limits.

This is something that many other counties implement, and would be very beneficial for a short term renters and tourism in our county. We rely a lot on visitors to stimulate our economy, and bringing young families into the area is a critical part of that effort. Children under two should definitely not be included in those limits.

Thank you.

Natalie

Cindy Wright

From: Alpine Lodge Leavenworth <info.alpineview@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 3:41 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello, I am privileged to have a Tier 2 grandfathered STR permit in Leavenworth and all has been running smoothly. I appreciate the structure the process and code has provided. I do wish that the matter would be settled just as much as you do.

I think the proposed changes are mostly well founded. I do take issue with:
Excludes infants under 24 months from Tier 1, 2, and 3 nighttime occupancy limits, but include on-site owners in these limits.

I am not an onsite owner right now but I am looking for a good potential Tier 1 opportunity because we are planning to move our winery business to Leavenworth/Peshastin and it would be a good opportunity and financially responsible for us to consider a Tier 1 situation in order to support our business and to be closer to our community and management of the winery and of the existing Tier 2 property. However, including onsite owners in the occupancy limits makes little sense to me. The owners are home to manage the occupants. I would venture to say that Tier 1 owners should be allowed a higher occupancy, not included in the occupants.

Likewise I would welcome the change to allow for a non-owner to be onsite to manage when necessary. I have often asked about this because I had hoped to purchase a home for my aging parents to live in and to offset other areas of the house to help pay for their home. Now that we are hoping to move there I think the change to allow non-owner would still be beneficial because at times we travel and I would like to have a manager (or mama) be onsite to keep things running smoothly.

A sign change to include reflective paint seems more of a nuisance to neighbors than a help. I have a beautiful custom painted sign but my property is rural and most don't see it until they come close to my house in the driveway. I can change it if necessary but reflective signs are just ugly.

Requiring annual inspections would be a huge nuisance for you guys. I'm not breaking any rules so I don't mind so much but it could end up a scheduling problem. Maybe require some other proof as needed? Time stamped photos?

Just a few thoughts. Thank you for your time.

Nova Chamberlain

Cindy Wright

From: Dan Wheeler <wheeler.dan@outlook.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 7:06 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Full-Time Resident Opposition to STR Code Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

I've just received word that Chelan County is once again bending to the pressure and influence of STR owners to let them continue to exploit our community.

This needs to STOP. STR's serve nobody but the business owners exploiting our community who are usually people who don't even live here. How does this keep happening? Other cities and areas are moving towards total bans of STRs or extreme limitations because of all the problems STRs create but Chelan county is moving in the opposite direction? This reminds me of the Seattle stadium debacle or \$30 car tabs where the people spoke but the government ignored them and did what it wanted to because of the people in power who stand to benefit from the exploitation and disregard of the citizens.

Honestly, I see this as CORRUPTION. The residents have spoken but the government is not listening, and I can only assume there is dirty money and insider influence happening to explain the total disregard for residents' preferences. Nothing else makes sense why our elected officials would cave to the pressure despite so much opposition and so much data showing how bad STRs are for housing affordability, sense of community, safety and so many other basic, common-sense concepts that make a place nice to live for the residents.

This idea that STRs and tourism is needed to support the community is BS. We don't need this. Tourism will only ruin what we have.

This is about MONEY and who's making it.

I am strongly opposed to ANY relaxations of STR policy and firmly believe we should be doing the opposite and tightening restrictions to reduce the amount of STRs in our community.

Again - We don't NEED STRs. The idea that commerce and tourism are needed in our community is FALSE. The community can has and can continue to thrive in other ways that don't require taking on the negative impacts of STRs. It is NOT NECESSARY.

STRs only bring MORE business, MORE commerce, MORE people and MORE problems. We don't NEED any of those things. It's simply not necessary. There is no pre-destined agenda for Chelan county that requires growth and commerce. The only thing driving it is the desire of a few to make money.

We will be much better off and happier if we can avoid the trap of unsustainable growth and commerce.

Cindy Wright

From: Jim & Nicole Wright <thewrightteam@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 8:29 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposed to STR code changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To Whom It May Concern,

We are strongly opposed to any changes in the STR code that was established in 2021. It should be strongly considered that in several areas of the county we are still well over the 6% cap. Removing the cap would lock in or increase the number of STRs in our neighborhoods. As we have seen for ourselves over the last 10 years, too many STRs in an area has detrimental effects on those neighbors around them, which is why this code was put in place.

We are also against letting tier 1 rentals have tenants in them while the owner does not live there.

Additionally, children should be counted as a part of the occupancy rate. Each human, whether adult or child, takes up space in an STR. They use water, electricity, create garbage and noise. They should be counted.

We are extremely upset that the code is being reopened for reconsideration and modification. The proposed changes that are being considered are detrimental to neighborhoods, owner occupied homes, home buyers and tenants looking for looking for rental housing near where they work.

This code could and should have gone even further to regulate STR's and the current code which was long fought for was already a compromise in many ways. There is no justifiable reason for considering the proposed changes which will only benefit investors and those running STR's! We consider it the duty and responsibility of county officials to act on behalf of the majority, not on behalf of the financial gain of such a minority.

Respectfully,
Jim & Nicole Wright
2524 Kinnikinnick Dr
Leavenworth, WA 98826

*Jim & Nicole Wright
Windermere Real Estate/M2, LLC
425-478-2700 Jim
425-478-2616 Nicole*

"You Can't Go Wrong With Two Wrights"

Cindy Wright

From: Carol Odom <vistaazulmanson@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 8:37 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR Changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

We are STR owner operators and residents in Manson. We strongly support the allowance of infants under 24 months as not applied towards the occupancy limit. Those infants don't contribute to parking, noise and garbage issues that are typically complaints made.

Our guests with infants are some of best who follow the rules and regulations.

We also welcome and support the allowing parking within setbacks. This would allow us 2 additional guests in our 3100 SF STR with 5 bedrooms. We have sewer and plenty of space for 10 guests plus infants. Prior to the code we were licensed for 12 occupants and we have lost many bookings due to the new code.

One additional car is not required due to the number of bedrooms or sewer but allows for two more guests which we can easily accommodate.

These changes are reasonable and will not impact our neighbors.

Thank you for making these code changes!

David and Carol Odom
Vista Azul Manson

Cindy Wright

From: Theresa Platz <drplatz@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 27, 2024 8:54 PM
To: CD Comment
Cc: Chris Platz; drplatz1@gmail.com
Subject: Short term Rental Proposed changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Dear Chelan County Planning Commissioners,

We are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed change in the 6% cap on short-term rentals and Tier 1 rental requirement changes in our community. As long-term residents of Chiwawa River Pines, we are deeply concerned about the potential negative impacts these changes would have on the character and safety of our neighborhood.

Short-term renters often do not have the same level of investment in our community as permanent residents. This can lead to behaviors that are disruptive and reckless, such as excessive noise and disregard for local regulations. Additionally, many short-term renters may not be familiar with the specific risks associated with our area, including fire hazards and interactions with local wildlife. This lack of understanding can pose significant dangers to both the renters and the community at large.

Furthermore, increasing the number of short-term rentals can exacerbate the already challenging housing market for local workers. Many individuals who work in our community are finding it increasingly difficult to afford housing close to their place of employment. By allowing more short-term rentals, we are effectively reducing the availability of long-term housing options, making it even harder for these essential workers to live in the area they serve.

Maintaining the 6% cap on short-term rentals is crucial for preserving the safety, character, and affordability of our neighborhood. We urge you to consider the long-term implications of this proposed change and to prioritize the needs and well-being of our permanent residents.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Theresa and Chris Platz
2509 Kinnikinnick Dr
Leavenworth, WA 98826

Cindy Wright

From: Leavenworth Second Homes LLC <secondhomes98826@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 12:02 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR CODE

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Chelan County Planning Commission

Chelan County, WA

Dear Members of the Planning Commission,

I am writing to urge the Chelan County Planning Commission to reconsider the overly restrictive regulations recently imposed on short-term rentals. These regulations are setting the county on a dangerous path, as many individuals who have been significantly impacted by the loss of revenue may pursue legal action. Several portions of the current code appear to be unconstitutional, and the county simply cannot afford the inevitable number of lawsuits that are likely to follow.'

At the same time, Chelan County is actively seeking ways to boost tourism, and short-term rentals provide an excellent opportunity to do just that. They attract visitors, generate revenue, and enhance the local economy. Restricting short-term rentals will only hinder these efforts, counteracting the county's goals for tourism growth.

I strongly encourage the Planning Commission to take these factors into consideration and re-evaluate the current restrictions to avoid costly legal challenges and to help foster a more robust tourism economy in Chelan County.

Sincerely,

Kirk Jensen

Cindy Wright

From: Anika Ryka <anikaryka@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 12:26 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: email from Anika on short term rentals

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Planning Commission & Council,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the current short-term rental (STR) regulations, specifically the 6% cap by zip code and other overly restrictive aspects of the code that I believe warrant reconsideration. While I understand and appreciate the county's intent to manage STR growth and ensure a balance between tourism and residential quality of life, the approach currently in place has unintended consequences that disproportionately target STR owners and, in some instances, appear unfairly discriminatory.

One of the key issues is the 6% cap per zip code on short-term rentals. This limit does not reflect the nuanced realities of different areas, the varying demand for STRs, or the economic benefits that STRs bring to Chelan County. Removing or adjusting this arbitrary cap could create a more flexible, balanced approach that encourages responsible STR management without stifling the local economy. Additionally, many areas of Chelan County rely heavily on tourism, and STRs provide an essential service to visitors while supporting local businesses.

Another concern is the inequitable enforcement of rules regarding occupancy based on septic system capacity. While it is understandable that septic limitations must be considered, STR owners are being disproportionately scrutinized compared to long-term rental owners or even non-rental homeowners. Long-term rentals and primary homeowners often exceed the septic limits without enforcement or oversight, yet they place a far greater burden on septic systems by residing year-round compared to short-term guests staying only for brief periods. This disparity is not only unjust but creates the perception that STR owners are being unfairly targeted.

The aggressive monitoring and enforcement of STR regulations, including the hiring of significant code enforcement personnel and the use of an online surveillance agency, feel like an overreach of governmental power. These measures give the appearance of surveillance targeting, akin to excessive monitoring based on religion or race. While it is clear that the county wishes to ensure compliance, such levels of oversight and the use of private agencies to monitor citizens feel overtly intrusive and unnecessary. The result has been a climate of fear and resentment among STR owners, who feel that they are being unfairly targeted by the county government.

I would urge the commission to reevaluate these aspects of the STR code and consider reforms that are more balanced, fair, and conducive to both protecting the county's infrastructure and supporting a thriving tourism economy. Removing the 6% cap by zip code and addressing the disparity in enforcement between STR and non-STR properties would be a good place to start. Furthermore, reducing the level of surveillance and enforcement to a more reasonable degree would help alleviate the sense of being unfairly targeted that many STR owners currently experience.

I appreciate the opportunity to share my concerns and hope that the Planning Commission will take them into consideration. The current code, while well-intentioned, needs adjustments to ensure that it is not unfairly penalizing a specific segment of property owners, but rather promoting a balanced approach that benefits the entire community.

Cindy Wright

From: Lorie Witmer <gowitty@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 6:11 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposed to New STR Code changes

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

I am strongly opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County.

We have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.

I am extremely opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.

I live next to an STR where owner lives in Florida. They have no garbage plan so Bears are attracted and spread garbage over their property and my property.

They don't manage parking or snow plow in winter, they cheat and try to get as many renters as possible.

I moved over here to enjoy the beauty and serenity, not deal with 4 SUVs arriving nightly to an STR that is barely managed from afar.

Managing occupancy is a real issue, I am
Also opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

As a resident I want more STR code not less. Why are we moving backwards?

Lorie Witmer
206-799-6588

Cindy Wright

From: Wendy McElhaney <wkmcelhaney@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 8:19 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Proposed STR changes - attn Planning Commission

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hi, I am writing to let you know that as a full-time resident of Leavenworth, I am opposed to the following changes proposed under the proposed STR code.

1. I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. There is currently a housing crisis and people working in the area should be able to live here.
2. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs. There are already too many properties owned by people who's main interest is to make money, not preserve the community that the locals have to live in.
3. I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. Allowing people to rent out part of their property while making additional income supports the local population instead of outsiders that don't care about the community from a fulltime resident perspective.
4. I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. All people should be counted. The town is already crowded enough. Even though children under 2 probably use less resources, then take seats in restaurants, go to the clinic/er for services and should be part of the STR rental count.

I moved here 3 years ago, but have been coming to visit my family for the last 30 years. The town has changed so much with tourists that are not invested in protecting and creating a community. Thanks for your time.

Wendy McElhaney
356 Hinthorne Ln
Leavenworth, Wa 98826
206-276-4940

Cindy Wright

From: Betsy Steele <birdrok@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 9:20 AM
To: CD STRComment; CD Comment
Subject: For today's STR hearing

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Planning Commission and Chelan County Commissioners:

Years, thoughtful debate, compromise and plenty of public funding have been devoted to establishing the STR code we have now.

It has become (for most residents) a logical, fair, acceptable, and well-managed part of "the system."

Chipping away at it - undermining the Tiers to permit more vacationers, not counting babies and toddlers as people, allowing a live-in renter to "manage" the STR property are absurd change requests.

The current STR regulations are to protect and benefit the actual citizens of our county, not to enable outside interests and locals with the real estate wherewithal to convert housing to tourist playgrounds. The clamoring greedy minority, never satisfied with legal resolution - should be firmly reminded to play by the rules, as they were presumably taught in kindergarten.

Leave our STR code in place, drop this "request" and move on to more urgent issues. You, Commissioners and Development Department, should, frankly, be ashamed of pandering to the unbridled STR contingent - which, in the words of a James Bond nemesis, "...keeps returning with the inevitable persistence of an unloved season..."

Sincerely,

Betsy Steele

9348 Eagle Creek Road

Leavenworth 98826

509 860 8059

Cindy Wright

From: Justin Gelb <jgelb88@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 9:06 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: Opposition to STR Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

To whom it may concern

My name is Justin Gelb. I work, live and rent in Chelan county. And changing the STR codes will greatly affect affordability and livability of this area for me and my family.

1. I am opposed to any changes in the STR code that would result in an increase in the number of STRs in the County. Let them know that we have a housing crisis and that our housing stock must be preserved for the residents who need homes.
2. I am opposed to any change in the 6% cap on the number of STRs.
3. I am opposed to changing the rule which requires Tier 1 rentals to be owner occupied. The purpose of the Tier 1 classification was to allow homeowners to rent out an accessory dwelling unit or basement apartment so that they could realize some additional income to help them to afford their homes. It was not intended to allow investors to buy up more homes in Chelan County.
4. I am opposed to excluding children under two from the head count for occupancy limits. This change will result in more older children and adults in short term rentals.

Thank you

Cindy Wright

From: Julie Douglas <julie-douglas@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 10:35 AM
To: CD Comment
Subject: ZTA 24-325 - Proposed amendments to the Short-Term Rental Provision in the Chelan County Code

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hi there

I hope I'm not too late to provide some feedback / comments about the proposed amendments to the STR provision.

We are **VERY supportive of any changes** to the code that lifts restrictions in the unincorporated areas of the county. I absolutely understand that people want to protect their neighborhoods but:

- We are a tourist town, that thrives BECAUSE of the tourists & the more lodging options made available the better
- We personally live on a 5-acre lot, are not a part of any neighborhood but have no viable STR options
- We pay our taxes & I believe we should be able to do what we want with our property (assuming we're withing code / safety requirements etc) -

A couple of ideas from other parts of the country I have lived in:

- In Florida they have a 'homestead' option to where you pay regular property taxes if it's your primary residence. If it's not your primary residence, you pay higher property taxes
- It would be great to have some type of exception process to where I could provide the details of our specific situation & if acceptable, have an exception granted. Most of the time one size does not fit all
- Impose an option that if you have been a primary resident in your property for at least 2 years, you have the option to apply for an STR – this would discourage big developers from buying properties & driving up prices but provide an option for people (like us) who would like to keep their house but rent it.

Anyway, best of luck with the meeting & thanks for reading.

Best,
Julie Douglas & Brian Brockwell
8836 Canal Road, Leavenworth WA 98826

Jessica K. Thompson

From: Wendy Dodds <wendydodds@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 2:47 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR Code for Chelan County

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

As a full time resident and home owner in Chelan county I am in opposition of altering the 6% cap and changing the Tier 1 requirement. There are already too many nightly rentals in our community. Important word "community". We are trying to build one and it is very difficult with renters coming and going, day and night. Homes continue to be purchased as investments instead of "homes". This keeps prices elevated for people who want to live and work in the community.

WD
Mobile: 503-706-2947
www.wendydodds.com

Jessica K. Thompson

From: Chris Coz <dooitup1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2024 1:58 PM
To: CD Comment
Subject: STR code change

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

External Email Warning! This email originated from outside of Chelan County.

Hello,

I live on n shore drive and am expressing my disapproval of the proposal to increase the 6% cap on STR's and the exclusion of children under 2 in the number of occupants. All neighborhood owners in any county should not be inundated with the festive party, loud atmosphere that STR's bring. They also always have more people than the limit allows. You are getting plenty enough tax revenue from the current property taxes. Please reconsider.

Chris Cosgriff